GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

3rd H Streets, N.E.

STEUART INVESTMENT COMPANY :

and : Case No. 06-01

STEUART - H STREET LLC :

----:

Thursday, July 6, 2006

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Special Public Hearing of Case No. 06-01 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 7:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson

ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice-Chairperson

GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner

JOHN G. PARSONS Commissioner (NPS) MICHAEL G. TURNBULL Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary

NEAL R. GROSS

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

ELLEN McCARTHY
JENNIFER STEINGASSER
JOEL LAWSON
TRAVIS PARKER

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Special Public Hearing held on July 6, 2006.

AGENDA ITEM

PAGE

<pre>CALL TO ORDER: Carol J. Mitten</pre>	. 4
PRELIMINARY MATTERS: ANC Letter of Support	.6
Request for Party Status from Mackie McCrea VOTE TO DENY REQUEST	.8
CASE NO. 06-01: STEUART INVESTMENT COMPANY	
PRESENTATIONS: Norman Glasgow Guy Steuart Maurice Walters Marty Wells Steven Suer	. 8 14 19 34
REPORT FROM OFFICE OF PLANNING:	70
REPORT FROM ANC COMMISSIONERS: Mark Dixon Anthony Rivers	74
WITNESSES (IN FAVOR): Anwar Saleem	86
WITNESSES (OPPOSED): Mackie McCrea Francisco Barbosa Elsbeth Purdy Nathan DesJardins Roy Lunsford Jim Purdy Paul Heavey	95 96 98 100 110
REBUTTAL:	14
ADJOURN:	21

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

3

1

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

7:30 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening ladies This is a public hearing of the Zoning and gentlemen. Commission of the District of Columbia for Thursday, July My name is Carol Mitten and joining me this evening are Vice Chairman, Anthony Hood and Commissioners Mike Turnbull, John Parsons, and Greg Jeffries.

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 06-01. This is a request by Steuart Investment Company and Steuart - H Street LLC for approval of a consolidated planned unit development and related map amendment for property fronting on 3rd, 4th and H Street and known as lots 9, 25-31, 51-53, 800 and 821 in square 776 and portions of public alleys proposed to be closed.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the D.C. Register on May 19, 2006 and copies of that hearing announcement are available to you and they're on the table by the door.

This hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Section 3022 and the order of procedure will be as follows. We'll take up any preliminary matters, followed by the presentation of the applicant's case, the report by the Office of Planning,

reports of any other government agencies, report by the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission, in this case it's ANC-6C, organizations and persons in support and organizations and persons in opposition.

The following time constraints will be maintained in the hearing. The applicant will have 45 minutes, organizations will have five minutes and individuals will have three minutes. The Commission intends to adhere to the time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time.

The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary and notes that no time shall be ceded.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. The cards are also on the table by the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission please give both cards to the court reporter who's sitting to our right.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by the court reporter and is also being webcast live. Accordingly, we ask you to refrain from making any disruptive noises in the hearing rook.

When presenting information to the Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone,

first stating your name and address. When you're finished speaking please turn the microphone off because they tend to pick up background noise.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record. To avoid any appearance to the contrary the Commission requests that persons present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or at any other time.

Mrs. Schellin will be available throughout the hearing to answer any procedural questions that you might have. I'd ask you to turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so as not to disrupt the hearing and we'll take up any preliminary matters. I know there's one, Mrs. Schellin.

MRS. SCHELLIN: I just had one other one.

I'm actually making copies now. The ANC did submit a
letter in support and we'll be passing out copies of that
to you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. That's great.

And we had a letter that articulated a request for party status from Mackie McCrea but the letter did not rise to the level of meeting our requirements for a request party status, so I'd like to know what the Commission's preference is in terms of how to handle this.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	There's also a suggestion in the letter that
2	this individual would in some way be representing the
3	balance of the residents in the square in question but
4	there's no authorization from those residents for that
5	individual to represent them so that's another issue in
6	terms of the party status request.
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: It seems as though
8	they're more anxious to give testimony.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Um-mmm.
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: And maybe that's
11	their understanding of the word party status, and we'll
12	certain allow them to testify. So maybe that's the more
13	meaningful thing to do.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. I think that's
15	true and we often get requests for party status when that
16	is the intent, and I think that because this does not rise
17	to the level of meeting our requirements for party status,
18	I think we should deny the party status request but
19	encourage the individual in question to testify when that
20	time comes. So I would move that we deny the request from
21	Mackie McCrea for party status.
22	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Second.
23	
	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any discussion? All

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any opposed? Mrs. Schellin. 2 Staff will record the vote 3 MRS. SCHELLIN: 4 5-0-0 to deny party status to Mackie McCrea, Commissioner 5 Mitten moving, Commissioner seconding, Parsons Commissioners Jeffries, Hood and Turnbull in favor. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Mr. Glasgow, 8 did you have anything as a preliminary matter? MR. GLASGOW: I have no preliminary matters. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Then I'd ask 11 you to begin. 12 MR. GLASGOW: All right. Thank you. 13 evening members of the Commission. For the record my name is Norman M. Glasgow, Jr., of the law firm of Holland & 14 15 Knight and here with me today is Mr. Tom Carroll of the 16 same firm representing the applicant, which is Steuart Investment Company, in its application for a planned unit 17 development approval with associated map amendment and 18 19 alley closing for property fronting on H Street, N.E. between 3rd and 4th Streets, N.E. 20 Also this evening are Mr. Guy Steuart on 21 22 behalf of the applicant to my immediate right. Mr. Maurice 23 Walters and Larry Brader are here from the architectural firm of Torti Gallas, Mr. Jim Alexander who's in the 24

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

audience, he's the project manager. Mr. Eric Smart of

_	Bonien Smart Associates, Mr. Marty Weirs, trainic engineer,
2	and Mr. Steven Suer, land planner.
3	I will offer Mr. Walters as an expert in
4	architecture, Mr. Smart an expert in land economics, Mr.
5	Wells in traffic engineering and Mr. Suer in land planning.
6	It's my understanding that all of these witnesses have
7	been accepted in the past as experts by this Commission
8	in their respective fields of expertise. And if there
9	are any questions on that?
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'm sorry. Would you
11	run down the list again?
12	MR. GLASGOW: Sure. Mr. Walters, expert
13	in architecture, he's appeared and testified before this
14	Commission in the past; Mr. Smart, land economics; Mr.
15	Wells in traffic engineering and Mr. Suer in land planning.
16	
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is there anyone who
18	needs to see resumes for any of the individuals? Okay.
19	Is there any objection to accepting them as experts in
20	the proffered fields? All right.
21	MR. GLASGOW: Thank you. I'd also like
22	to give just a brief opening statement before proceeding
23	with the testimony of the witnesses.
24	This project does represent an effort to
25	anchor the west end of the H Street corridor with an

important project consistent with the H Street strategic development plan, and consistent with the actions by this Commission with respect to the H Street overlay where this square was recognized as a square where a grocery store could be established. And if you look at the submissions by the applicant and the plat of the square and the ownership within the square, this is the only site within the square where a grocery store could be located.

The Commission adopted the H Street overlay district recently and the City Council adopted the H Street strategic development plan which also references this site as a site that's appropriate for an eight to six story residential building as a potential development of the site.

The applicant has been to a total of nine community meetings involving this project spanning the time frame from early February through mid-June and has received the support from the near Northeast Citizens Association, the ANC, the H Street CDC, the Capitol Hill Restoration Society and Council Member Ambrose.

As it to be expected in any major project along a commercial corridor where there is residential in close proximity, there is not unanimity in support for the project. However, given the experience that we've had in the nine community meetings, we believe that the

vast majority of residents in the community and community groups have been extremely supportive and encouraging of this project which involves a number of community amenities, including the provision of a grocery store without requesting any additional government subsidies, and as you note from the letter from Council Member Ambrose those subsidies were needed to be provided in the past for the provision of a grocery store at Pennsylvania and Potomac Avenues, S.E.

We had to go to the City Council and get some special legislation in order to provide the economic wherewithal in order to build below grade parking for a grocery store because grocery stores do not pay -- they will pay in a certain rent range for space. It is not their problem as to how you have to provide parking in an urban location of sub surface parking which costs many multiples of surface parking and that has to be made up by the applicant through one way or another.

Here we're using the planning unit development process for that.

Additional amenities for the project include set back along H Street, the removal of curb cuts from H Street, the provision of 10 percent of the increased FAR and affordable housing units and also we believe a very well designed mixed-use building.

In continuing discussions with the potential
grocery store occupant of the site there's been a slight
modification to the plans which we will want to present
to the Commission this evening, which include the shifting
of the residential entry one bay to the east and further
set back from the property line of the central apartment
building leg. And that will be discussed in the greatest
detail by Mr. Maurice Walters and will be referenced by
Mr. Steuart. There were also modifications
to the facade suggested and raised by the Capitol Hill
Restoration Society which has issued a letter in support
of the application which we believe they were thought out
very well and we incorporated a number of those into the
project.
The project has a maximum height of 90 feet
along H Street, the center wing in the interior of the

The project has a maximum height of 90 feet along H Street, the center wing in the interior of the square and the western wing along $3^{\rm rd}$ Street. They also set down step downers will be addressed by the architect.

П

The FAR for the project is approximately 5.0, which is approximately 60,000 square feet, less than would be permitted in the 6 FAR C-2-B PUD project.

The applicant has also requested a rezoning from the C-2-A to C-2-B for approximately 10,000 square feet of land area within the site and if you look at the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	plans that are of record on sheet GO-3 while it says C-2-A
2	because that's the zoning map that the city has, the
3	western part of the site
4	is C-2-B and there is an eastern piece, an eastern corner
5	that's about 10,000 square feet, including alleys to be
6	closed, it's about 7,000 square feet of land that would
7	be rezoned from C-2-A to C-2-B and you will see that there's
8	essentially no difference in that depth within the square.
9	
10	If there are no preliminary questions I'd
11	like to proceed with the testimony of the witnesses, first
12	calling Mr. Guy Steuart.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll hold our
14	questions to the end.
15	MR. GLASGOW: Thank you.
16	MR. STEUART: Good evening members of the
17	Commission. My name is Guy Steuart, I am senior vice
18	president of Steuart Investment Company speaking on behalf
19	of my family's business and the applicant in this project.
20	My address if 5454 Wisconsin Avenue, Chevy Chase,
21	Maryland.
22	Speaking to some of the specifics and a little
23	history of our involvement in this site. The square is
24	divided by an alley that runs north to south from H Street
25	to Eye Street. All commercial property west of this alley

has been owned by our family business since the early
1950s.
In the mid 1970s we leased the property to
Amoco and in February 2000 BP Amoco bought 13 lots east
of the alley which constituted the balance of all

commercial ground in the square.

In early 2005, BP Amoco made a corporate decision to abandon its plans for development of a gas station and convenience store and approached us about disposing of what it characterized as excess property.

We worked for nearly a year to buy the property and relieve BP Amoco of its lengthy ground lease liabilities to us, and we finalized this transaction with BP Amoco this past December.

We testified before this body, as Mr. Glasgow has mentioned, in support of the H Street overlay last June with the exceptions, since adopted, that the commercial ground in square 776 retain enough commercial density sufficient to accommodate a grocery store.

In January of this year we filed our plans for the mixed-use project now before us. The grocery store and residential project 232 units, plus or minus, and hopefully we'll provide further detail from Mr. Walters tonight to satisfy the Commission's inquiries.

We subsequently presented our plans to the

NEAL R. GROSS

community on several occasions including, as Mr. Glasgow mentioned, the Near Northeast Neighborhood Association, the Linden Street Neighborhood Association, Capitol Hill Restoration Society and H Street Main Street sponsored community meeting a single member district sponsored community, meeting the ANC planning and zoning committee twice and the full ANC twice.

We subsequently received the ANC's endorsement in a 5-2 vote last month and we received the Office of Planning's endorsement, Council Member Ambrose's support, as well as much community support within the neighborhoods.

Throughout the community meetings and discussions we received and incorporated many suggestions that have led to we think a better project design and, we hope, a project that is consistent with the H Street overlay and better serves the community and helps to revitalize the H Street communities.

We have stated consistently that to accommodate a grocery store the property must be consolidated and the alley system which divides the lots must be closed. We have requested closure only for those portions of the alleys running through the commercial zoned land. We now own both sides of these alleys, the northern segment of the alley that empties onto Eye Street

after we're, hopefully, developed. 2 If the alley closings are approved and our 3 project moves ahead, we propose to greatly improve 4 5 navigation within the square by widening the remaining alleys to a minimum of 20 feet. All residential lots with 6 which we share a common alley would benefit by permitting 7 8 easier vehicular access to the rear of their lots through this improved alley way. 9 10 Presently these alleys we seek to widen are 11 anywhere from 8 to 12 feet wide and generally cumbersome 12 to navigate if navigable at all. 13 To accommodate the improved alley network 14 we will set back our building from our lot line sufficient 15 to create the 20 foot width along common property 16 boundaries with the residential neighbors. We will not use the wider alley system for 17 commercial use as all grocery loading will be accessed 18 directly from 3rd Street. 19 20 I hope this clarifies our intent with respect 21 to the alley network and to our past ownership interest 22 in the square. 23 Segueing into a couple of modifications that Mr. Glasgow mentioned, there are two improvements to the 24 25 project that we've incorporated since the full ANC's

will remain as is, so the alley access will still be there

approval last month which bear mentioning.

We continue to negotiate with a grocery store and within the past two weeks it was made clear by the grocery that we needed to provide a more efficient sales area for the grocer. As a result, a revised plan now before the Commission square off the interior dimension of the grocery store by moving the residential elevator and service core approximately 30 feet east of where the core was located in earlier presentations.

This revision expands the grocery store sales area and adds two floors of residential units for about a 30-foot length of the H Street frontage on the project's panhandle wing, that's the eastern side.

This allows us to provide elevator access to all residential floors in the development and to recapture the residential floor area lost by relocating the core.

The revised grocery store design with the added residential units on the H Street front introduced an opportunity to reduce the project now seen elsewhere in the square in order that we stay within our proposed PUD density.

Accordingly, and paying heed to some community concerns, our revised plan is more sensitive to our residential neighbors inasmuch as we propose widening our set backs on the northern elevations of the

residential floors. Simply put we've added another 8 feet in setbacks along the northern elevations of the residential wings pulling the upper floors or our building further away from the residential lots to our north.

This setback refinement keeps the project within the FAR density required in the PUD submission and we believe reduce the project's massing on the residential lots.

I will now turn over the presentation to Maurice Walters of Torti Gallas and I thank the Commission Members for their time this evening.

MR. WALTERS: Good evening Madam Chair and Commissioners. Maurice Walters, I reside at 623 Constitution Avenue, N.E. I'm a principal with the firm of Torti Gallas & Partners, and I'll start my presentation. Can we dim the lights?

This first slide I think is important because the site's at a very great location and proximity to Metro. The site is here on the H Street corridor, it's within a 10-minute walk to both Union Station and the new New York Avenue sites, so that gives a very nice location for using transit, as well as being nicely located in the Capitol Hill neighborhood and the neighborhoods to the north of H Street. A very nice location for a grocery store to serve those neighborhoods.

Here's a detail of the site. You can see the H Street corridor, the edge of the bridge, the train tracks, the railway building, the Senate Square development, and then this is our site here. The existing town homes along $4^{\rm th}$, some vacant sites at the corner, some more town houses along Eye Street and then coming back down $3^{\rm rd}$.

There's a lot of discussion about the alleys and we wanted to take just a moment to hopefully clarify what's going on. This is an existing survey of the existing conditions. There is in the middle of the site a 15-foot alley that runs north-south from H Street all the way up to Eye Street.

Additionally, in the middle of the site, here is a 30-foot spur of the alley, the shaded areas indicate the alleys that are within the Steuart Investment Property's property. Then there's an existing 8-foot alley that comes over here and when it crosses it goes out to 4th Street, so that's an 8-foot alley. Then there's an existing 8-foot alley that runs behind the 4th Street properties and then a 10-foot alley behind the properties on Eye Street, and then when it crosses the 15-foot alley it grows to a 12-foot alley continuing over here. And then about an 8-foot alley that runs back out to Eye Street.

And we're proposing closing the alleys within

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

our property but also expanding the perimeter alleys that serve the existing houses. The 12-foot alley looks to be functional today, it looks like it's getting used; the existing 8-foot alleys are not functional and the intention is to open them up to 20 feet so that those residents will then have use of the alley system to access the back of their property, which except for the few that might abut this 30-foot alley they don't really have that today.

There you can some of the 8-foot alleys, there's actually the end of the 30-foot alley and the 8-foot alley going north-south. There's one of the very small 8-foot alleys and then there's the 12-foot alley that seems to get used. It looks like the trash is picked up along that alley. I'll get into that in a little bit more detail in a minute showing you the proposed --

One quick note, Mr. Glasgow mentioned on the overlay very importantly calling for 6 to 8 stories. We took that as our point of departure for the PUD and that is in fact the building heights we're providing.

This diagram illustrates the current plan of the development. H Street, $3^{\rm rd}$ Street, $4^{\rm th}$ Street and Eye Street. H Street, of course, is two-way, $3^{\rm rd}$ Street is two-way, Eye is one way and $4^{\rm th}$ is one way, and that has an impact on how we saw people and vehicles accessing

the site.

Obviously, it became quickly apparent that the footprint of the grocery store wanted to fit on the larger portion of the site and that we would utilize this smaller panhandle over here for smaller neighborhood oriented retail as well as residential aspects of the project. So that was a quick decision.

Then the entry to the grocery store, pedestrian entry, really wants to be at the corner of 3rd and H. It is the prominent corner as you come over the bridge. And then since there is two way traffic on 3rd, we've situated the garage ramp leading to all below grade parking off of 3rd Street as well as three truck berths in there as opposed to bringing the trucks into the middle of the block where they would have an adverse impact on the neighbors.

You can see here where we've supplemented the alley system. The existing 12-foot alley along here has been widened by 8 feet to make it a minimum of 20, and then at the jog, the 8 has had 12 added to give it 20, I'm sorry 10 and 10, 8 and 12 to get to 20 and 8, it's actually a little less than 8 there and then more than 12 to get it actually a little bit over 20 in this point.

So those neighbors now should have access

to the backs of their lots, and if they choose to park in the rear of their lot they now have that option available to them when this is built out.

The loading I'll speak about a little bit more. The grocery store standards require two 55-foot truck berths as well as an internal compactor and then there'll be a service delivery space in front of that and that has a ramp up to the store level. That has to be depressed down about 4 feet. So we've ramped it down from inside. It is proposed to be inside the envelope of the building, there will be doors and it's oversize, it's deeper than the standard 55-feet by about 10 feet to allow the trucks to get inside and really get in there and get the door closed, as opposed to having them hang out on the sidewalk.

Also you'll see and actually let me zoom in on this in a little more detail. We've set them back ever so slightly just to kind of put them back in the shadow and also the door to the garage ramp has been pushed back a bit to kind of give it a hyphen type quality.

The garage ramp does not extend all the way to the neighboring row house. There is a garage air intake shaft that brings fresh air down to the garage that kind of buffers that house from the drive ramp as well as an exit stair that leads down from the building above.

The ramps I talked about. The 3rd Street sidewalk is very gracious, about 28 feet. We hope to have a café type zone out there at the corner entry. There'll be escalators and stairs going down to the parking as well as elevators to bring shoppers up the drive, up to a vestibule and then into the store.

Mr. Glasgow mentioned very early on we chose to pull the building back from the right of way line. When we analyzed the sidewalks they were in fact very narrow and we would have got 11 and 12 foot sidewalks, so we've even pulled it back about 4 feet on average to augment the sidewalk. We have to get relief from the H Street overlay that calls to be built to the property line.

and Mr. Glasgow mentioned, in a kind of late refinement to the design we had to grab more space over here and push the residential lobby to the east to get this up to about 40,000 square feet on the main level and 12,000 square meet of mezzanine space. The mezzanine space is situated along H Street and 3rd Street and then there'll be taller ceilings within the body of the store.

The lobby occurs farther down the block with their elevators, front desk, and then three bays of smaller neighborhood based retail, 580 feet, 560 feet and 1,461

feet. That retail although the total size doesn't require any loading, it's intended to share some loading with the residential, will have a 55-foot truck berth, a loading platform as well as a service truck berth here along the back. Those will have access behind the elevator bank into a service elevator for move-ins as well as the residential trash compactor resides back here. Those can be wheeled out and picked up. And then we also have a retail trash area there for internal retail trash where that can be brought out and picked up immediately.

And then those retail spaces can access the back for loading and there's a little spur corridor to go around and serve the other retail.

As I mentioned, the lobby with a door, front desk. We also have a leasing component that is intended -- this is intended as apartments so it needs a leasing component, then three shop fronts and then wrapping around the corner on the wider right of way also an outdoor café zone on 4th Street.

Just quickly, this is the previous plan that you've seen. Just to get a bearing, the lobby used to reside farther over in the main block and it moved to the east to get the square footage up. We were previously at 35,000 square feet on the ground floor.

These are some images of the type of store

that's envisioned, a mezzanine area of about 15 feet high with things like beer and wine and sundries, and then the main floor of the store, a very tall store that we're trying to get here, a modern store. It'll have 28 foot ceilings to get the type of tenant that we're going for. And that's kind of a lot of the reason for the additional height required.

There's the mezzanine, you can see curving up. On the other portions of the building we are starting to occupy with residential at approximately the same level as the mezzanine. We get apartments above the smaller shops, they still will have about an 18-foot ceiling but we'll start the apartments and then also importantly the building starts to set back. It's pulling back about 6 feet from the grocery store envelope there and about 10 feet on the back at that point.

Also some mechanical mezzanine's over in this area.

And then when we get to the third floor, that's the first full floor of residential, we have a landscaped courtyard with greenery. The building is set back here, there's a small mechanical area that sits back, the terraces that I mentioned below. So starting to pull back from the neighbors.

And then as you move up, bay windows, steps

back, and then at the fifth floor the lower piece over here is stopped and you'll see in the elevations how it's starting to step back.

We go up one more floor to the sixth floor and the lower wing of the building has started to terrace back to bring down the massing impact of that. And then when we go to the seventh floor there's significant setbacks about 6 feet all the way along 3rd Street, all the way along H Street. The wing over here has stopped and there's basically just the mechanical penthouse at the seventh level and then also a 6-foot terrace again along the alley and to the north to mitigate the impact of that massing.

And another area of relief is on the roof structures. We have one over here with our elevator core that previously kind of co-existed with this piece that occurred in that wing, but when we had to open up the space we had to pull it over and we didn't want to have a kind of funny connection so we broke them apart. So we anticipate three structures, the elevator core, a main mechanical one hiding some pieces of mechanical equipment and then the exit stair and then a smaller one with just a stair and stair pressurization.

The shaded area indicate the roof terracing for our residential recreation component. We thought it

was best to place that along H Street and 3rd Street over where you get the views back toward the monumental core and also to pull it away from the adjacent residents. The garage, just so you can understand, there was an earlier question, I believe at the set down, about the steepness of the ramp. We have got that ramp slope The shopping patrons will continue It comes in. on straight ahead, and then they will turn around and they will enter a big parking field for the grocery store. The residents will fork off and go down a speed ramp, there will be an access control at that point, they will go down their own dedicated ramp to G-2 and G-3 for their own parking. Again, the shoppers come in, move around, there's kind of a parcel pickup area over here by the elevators and the stairs that will bring the patrons up to the store and then more parking all around. This ramp up here represents the residents coming back up and exiting and then coming back out the ramp onto 3rd Street.

And just quickly in detail the other parking trays, you've come down, there's the residential parking, it does not extend all the way out the panhandle. Another ramp down to G-3 and then G-3.

Some sections of the building. This one running east-west parallel to H Street, you can see the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

two stories of retail, the three levels of below grade parking, the residential lobby, retail, retail, and then the residential starting low, coming up along that side, stepping back and then the six-story building going over and stepping back at the seventh and the eighth.

A section running from 3rd Street over to 4th Street, again the grocery store along the bottom. You can see the mezzanine in this case where it overlooks the main store, the landscape courtyard, and we anticipate putting some skylights in to let natural light into the grocery store.

The 6-foot setback, also the setbacks on the alley, the 10-foot setback, I believe it is, and then the 6-foot setback and another 6-foot setback along the alley pulling the massing of the building back.

This is a section running from H Street to Eye Street. One of the neighboring town houses on Eye Street, some of the existing buildings on H Street. You can see the building rising six stories to 70 feet, the 6-foot set back and then going up to the full 90. The penthouse structures. Back down in the courtyard, stepping down a little mechanical well and then stepping down and then the set back on the alley and then the neighbor's backyard.

And then the last section is cut at the very

29
end through the panhandle of the building, the 1-G-1 level,
the neighborhood retail at 18 feet approximately and ther
the residential up above.
To get into some of the elevations real
quickly. This diagram shows the taper of the building,
this is the existing Children's Museum building that's
being renovated as part of the Senate Square, and also

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

the

new

Senate

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

Here at the top an H Street elevation. The existing zoning, the 65-foot line shown, the 70-foot being where the significant stepping occurs in the building.

The top of the eastern wing as well as the terraces along H Street. And then the 90-foot ultimate height of the

construction at 110 feet, our building stepping down to

90, then down to 70 again and then down across 4^{th} Street

to the existing context and some sketcher I'll get to later.

Square buildings currently under

Also a view along Eye Street with the elevation with the existing townhouses, the back of the building with the courtyard in the back of the eastern wing.

And then just some images to kind of illustrate the points of the retail. The two-story retail we think's going to be very exciting. Existing store,

building.

albeit just a two-story building, but showing how the mezzanine works and it gives kind of a lot of drama to the front of the store as opposed to a lot of prototypes you might be familiar with.

And then two-story retail within the city where it gives a lot of nice character.

The eastern portion of the building will be animated with a series of bay fronts to give a nice kind of different texture to that portion of the building.

And then moving around to 3rd Street, the 65-foot datum, then the ultimate 90-foot height of the building. The building steps down to about 50 feet here. This is one of the things we worked with Capitol Hill Restoration Society is in kind of treating the end of the building with the garage ramp as a separate pavilion with a bay on it to kind of step down to the residential scale of the existing neighborhoods.

And then around on 4^{th} Street the smaller 70-foot portion with bay windows also and a retail bay at the bottom.

Some of the things we were looking at in designing the building, the GPO buildings over on North Capitol, the kind of industrial redbrick quality of them that we thought is very attractive and kind of accruing along the H Street, as well as the Railway Building on

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2nd Street, we kind of took some cues from those. And then a building that we've designed at 13th and U Street, very similar on condition, transitioning to existing historic row house fabric and then the new building here, same tactics we're employing along this with the building stepping down and then transitioning into row houses.

Wrapping up, a sketch of the building as you're coming across the bridge. The ultimate 90-foot height, the stepping at 70 along here with the balconies. The cast stone base used at the corner to articulate the entry, a canopy wrapping around for the grocery store frontage. And then looking from the east, the existing fabric, the 70-foot wing with the step backs in it, a series of bay windows, the retail bays at the bottom and then the entry to the lobby articulated with a bay and kind of a tower feature that rises to the full 90-feet and then the 70-foot setback.

You are seeing a bit of the roof for the residential recreation space, and then the elevator penthouse over in that area.

In conclusion, the building we think will be very similar to this that we built at 13th and U that can blend in with the row house neighborhood and a mixed-use building brings vitality, kind of life to the street, café zones, the kind of things we really hope to

1	do, bring grocery, mixed-use. Hopefully, the transit will
2	be coming and animated streetscapes and café zones we think
3	will really help to bring H Street along.
4	That concludes my testimony.
5	MR. GLASGOW: Thank you. For the next witness
6	I'd like to have Mr. Marty Wells.
7	MR. WELLS: Good evening. I'm Marty Wells,
8	president of Wells & Associates. We were retained by
9	Steuart Investment Company to prepare a transportation
10	impact study for this case.
11	Our original report is dated January 6, 2006.
12	It evaluated 232 residential dwelling units in a combined
13	total of 71,515 square feet of grocery store and stores.
14	
15	We've prepared an addendum to that on April
16	that included the same 232 residential units but a smaller
17	grocery store and retail component, 69,093 square feet.
18	
19	The current program, as we understand it,
20	still has the 232 residential units but it has 55,907
21	square feet of grocery and retail stores.
22	Our study evaluated eight intersections,
23	five projects that have been approved but not yet built,
24	including of course Senate Square right across the street,
25	and we took into account background traffic growth. If

you have questions about that I'd be delighted to regale you with the details.

In terms of traffic counts, H Street during peak hours carries roughly 2,000 peak hour trips, Eye Street carries a modest 50 to 110 trips, $3^{\rm rd}$ Street carries roughly 450 peak hour trips, $4^{\rm th}$ Street is in the range of 350 to 375.

What we find is that by industry standards the intersections we evaluated they operate at acceptable levels of service, acceptable level of service D or better, during both peak hours.

In terms of the number of trips that this project would generate, we estimate that 232 residential units will generate about 50 to 59 peak hour trips. That's based on a 50 percent non-auto mode split which is consistent with the U.S. Census journey to work data.

The grocery store and retail component we estimated for the larger program about 133 a.m. peak hour trips, 326 p.m. peak hour trips. The derivation of that deserves some mention. We used a non-auto mode split of 60 percent for the commercial component. That is a factor we use to appropriately adjust the industry standards ITE, Institute of Transportation Engineers, trip generation rates for suburban grocery stores; we use this factor to adjust the suburban data to the details or the specifics

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

of this urban location.

That factor is in fact consistent with the number of parking spaces that will be provided here and it is consistent with the ITE trip generation rates per occupied parking space.

So the residents and the commercial component together would generate about 183 to 385 peak hour trips.

These trips can be accommodated at an acceptable level of service, D or better, at all of the intersections we evaluated with the provision that the green time at the intersection of 3rd and H be adjusted so 3rd Street gets about ten more seconds than it gets today. In other words, take some green time from H Street, which operates at level of service A or B, and reallocate it to 3rd Street given the higher side street traffic volumes. DDOT noted that in their report.

Regarding parking, in the C-2-B zone in which this project is located, the residential units would require one space for every three units or 78 spaces for 232 units. In fact, 254 spaces will be provided, so more than three times the required parking will be provided for the residential units.

For the grocery store and retail, the requirement is one space for every 750 square feet above 3,000 square feet. So for 55,907 square feet, 71 parking

spaces would be required; in fact, 121 parking spaces will be provided and they will be used exclusively for the grocery store, so the parking ratio is 2.7 spaces per 1,000 square feet of grocery store.

This is consistent with the ITE or Institute of Transportation Engineers parking generation rate for urban supermarkets. It also meets the market-driven demands predicted by at least one potential grocer here, namely Harris Teeter.

With regard to loading, we can go into the details if you like but the code requirements for the residential use is being met, for the 55-foot deep berth, the 200 square foot loading berth and the 20-foot deep service/delivery space, those are being provided as Mr. Walters indicated in the alley off of 4th Street.

The grocery store will provide two 55-foot deep loading berths, the code requirement is for one 30-foot berth and one 55-foot berth. Two loading platforms of 200 square feet will be provided, the code requirement is one at 100 square feet and one at 200 square feet and, again, the one 20-foot deep service/delivery space that is being provided also.

Mr. Walters described the changes to the alley system. I won't repeat those changes, but I concluded from our review that the proposed development will have acceptable traffic impacts,

1 it will adequately serve the proposed number of parking spaces and loading docks and the proposed revisions to 2 the public alley system will allow this project to be built 3 and will better serve the neighboring properties. 4 5 I'm pleased to report that DDOT has reviewed our work and has no objections to this project. Thank you. 6 The next witness I'd like to 7 MR. GLASGOW: 8 call Mr. Steven Suer. 9 MR. SUER: Good evening Madam Chairman and 10 Members of the Commission. For the record my name is Steven 11 E. Suer, the director of zoning and law services with the 12 law firm of Holland & Knight. Unaccustomed to having this 13 much time I'll talk more slowly than usual -- no, I won't 14 do that either. 15 Let me just go quickly through what we will 16 be provided by the staff. We have a site about 61,000 17 square feet, it's all vacant at the moment. Approximately 18 9,000 square feet of that site is zoned C-2-A and approximately 52,000 square feet is currently zoned C-2-B. 19 20 What we're proposing is that the entire site be zoned 21 C-2-B. 22 When the Commission adopted the H Street 23 neighborhood commercial overlay district earlier this year, it left that northeast corner C-2-A. 24

through the record in that case fairly extensively and

as far as I can tell, that was inadvertent. The advertisement for the change of zoning advertised lots fronting on H Street, so when you look at the lot configuration, the lots that are west of the north-south alley that both Mr. Steuart and Mr. Walters talked about before, extend all the way back to the north end of the site, so they were zoned C-2-B in their entirety.

The eight lots that were separated from the rest of the lots because of that alley configuration on the eastern side of the site, didn't front on H Street and therefore in the judgment of the Office of Attorney General, were not advertised for rezoning.

I could not find any evidence that either the Office of Planning or the Zoning Commission intended to leave the alley lots in a different zoning configuration than the remainder of the property. Again, 85 percent of the site is already C-2-B and we are requesting rezoning to put the entire site in that category.

In terms of compliance with the PUD standards, this is Roman numeral VIII on page 8 of the outline, the Commission is required to determine that the impact of the project shall be favorable, capable of being mitigated or acceptable, and we believe that replacing a vacant site with a full service grocery store and approximately 230 new residential units in compliance with the H Street

strategic development plan is clearly favorable to the District of Columbia. Mr. Walters has described the height and I'd like to dwell for a moment on the context of that height. Clearly, the project to the west of ths, the Senate Square project, was approved by the Commission as a PUD, that's at a maximum height of 110 feet recognizing that a portion of that site was an historic building that was preserved at a lower height, but the ultimate height there is 110 feet, our ultimate height is 90 feet, stepping down to 70 feet on the eastern end then going across 4th Street to the lower heights further to the east along H Street.

Mr. Wells has talked about traffic. Mr. Smart in his report has talked about the favorable economic benefits that will result.

When I look at this project, and the way I think you ought to look at this project, it can be fairly simple. What the city gets out of this is a full service grocery store and approximately 230, the range is 210 to 250, apartment units of which about 10,000 square feet would be affordable units.

What the city approves in the way of development incentives are an extra 25 feet in height, as Mr. Walters went through the plan and described where that is, stepping down as it does to the north and to the

east, we get an increase in residential density of about 100,000 square feet and we have a decrease in commercial density of about 30,000 square feet. So there's a tradeoff there; the Commission authorized up to one-and-a-half FAR for a site including a grocery store, we're not using all that one-and-a-half FAR.

Mr. Walters talked about some of the areas where the plan does not meet all of the specific requirements of either the C-2-B district or the H Street overlay, and let me just run through those real quickly again.

On lot occupancy, 80 percent is permitted. At the second floor level where you have the upper story of the grocery store space and where we have some residential units fronting on the alley and on the eastern end of the site we're at 82 percent lot occupancy. But on the third floor and on all subsequent higher floors, we're at 68 percent or less. So on that second floor we're 2 percent over the lot occupancy and that's really a function of the height of the grocery store.

Residential rec space we're at 10.1 percent instead of 15 percent. On the roof structure we have three penthouses, we have setbacks on the main elevator penthouse which Mr. Walters demonstrated before, and we have one situation where we have walls of unequal height.

2.0

On the H Street overlay criteria we're required to have 75 percent of the street wall along the H Street property line, we've set the whole building back 4 feet to widen the sidewalk there and, again, if you look at the civil drawings you see that the curb is not uniform along that block. It pinches out or back depending on which way you want to go. So essentially what we've done is to provide a wider sidewalk there by that setback but still maintaining the street wall along that north side of H Street.

And then with respect to the requirement for building entrances, we're required to have one every 40 feet which would be eight and what we have is five, and basically the function there is because the grocery store wants only one entrance for inventory control and locations of cash registers and stuff like that regardless of who the tenant is. They don't want to have multiple entrances along the street so you've got that whole frontage that's basically one entrance and you've got the residential entrance and three entrances to the other retail located to the east.

We've looked at the comprehensive plan and I think there are ample policies in the plan that support what this project is about.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	I want to spend 30 seconds of my remaining
2	47 on the H Street strategic development plan, this is
3	page 17 Roman numeral X. The site is part of the Western
4	Gateway urban living subdistrict, the plan actually
5	contemplated or through about the fact that BP was going
6	to pursue its gas station/convenience store on the site
7	but, failing that, noted that a six to eight story
8	residential building accommodating retail uses on the
9	ground floor would be an appropriate development on this
10	site, and that's exactly what's happening here.
11	In conclusion, I believe that the project
12	is not inconsistent with the comprehensive plan, meets
13	the standards of the PUD regulations, provides an
14	appropriate balance between development incentives
15	requested and the benefits and amenities offered to the
16	city and should be approved. Doggone it, I've got five
17	seconds left. I wasted time.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Anything
19	else?
20	MR. GLASGOW: That concludes our direct
21	presentation.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Questions
23	from the Commission? Mr. Jeffries?
24	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I'm curious, first
25	of all I'd like to say I thought this presentation, the

1	physical presentation here as well as the verbal was quite
2	informative and there's lots of information to chew on
3	here.
4	I have a question about the grocery stores.
5	What did Whole Foods at P Street or Harris Teeter at like
6	17 th Street, was any of the parking tied to those
7	developments, those grocery stores, subsidized by the
8	District? Do you know? Does anyone know?
9	MR. GLASGOW: The parking for the Harris
10	Teeter on Kalorama was not, because we had an existing
11	building that had parking in it.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Parking? Okay.
13	MR. GLASGOW: That's how we were able to
14	make that come together, the Citadel.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: The Citadel, right.
16	
17	MR. GLASGOW: Yes. So we had existing parking
18	in it so we didn't have to wrestle with that problem in
19	that way. And we had enough parking that they were
20	satisfied with the number of spaces that were being
21	provided for the square footage for the facility.
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Good. And then Whole
23	Foods? Probably getting back a little bit.
24	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, it's going back a little
25	bit. I worked on that some.

	MR. SIEOARI: II I can interrupt, wash t
2	that at one time an old car dealership?
3	MR. GLASGOW: And they could put parking
4	on the roof.
5	MR. STEUART: And internal ramps to
6	accommodate parking.
7	MR. GLASGOW: So they didn't have below grade
8	parking, they were able to park
9	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Well there is some
LO	below grade parking but just one level.
L1	MR. GLASGOW: Right. But they had parking,
L2	a significant amount of parking on the roof. We did some
L3	legislation on Whole Foods but it was different than what
L4	we did for the Harris Teeter at Pennsylvania and Potomac
L5	Avenue.
L6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I thought at one
L7	point that the District, I think it was through the deputy
L8	mayor's Office of Planning and Economic Development, there
L9	was some subsidy or something, I don't know if it's still
20	in place.
21	MR. GLASGOW: Right. They had the tax
22	abatement program
23	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes, that's it,
24	the tax abatement.
25	MR. GLASGOW: Okay. That was part of the

1 legislation that we did. We also got them an ABC, that was the first one to have the grocery store that could 2 have their own ABC license, which was all part of a package 3 4 to get it so the Whole Foods could go in that facility. 5 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Well I'm just asking the question as it relates to the affordable housing 6 7 piece and sort of the question about providing a little 8 bit more relief on that aspect of the proffer. So I have some other questions but I'll wait for the --9 10 And then the industrial warehouse design, 11 let's look at it. What was the driver for that? That's 12 how I looked at it, particularly the design you had 13 previously. It looks very sort of industrial warehouse. 14 What was the driver behind that type of what I thought 15 was design? MR. WALTERS: Well, it was looking for cues 16 17 of buildings in the neighborhood and the pattern or the printing office and the railway building kind of clued 18 us in. 19 20 The first design showed kind of more of an 21 industrial window and then when we were going through the 22 refinements with CHRS we combed it down and went with more double hung windows to kind of make it a little softer 23 a look on the building. 24 25 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. And then my

1	last question, you talked about Mr. Walters the setbacks
2	that were at the buildings that were part of the project
3	that sort of step back a bit at the upper levels to provide
4	some level of sensitivity to some of their neighboring
5	row houses. How much setback are we talking about there?
6	And it didn't seem to be that readily apparent, looking
7	at your volumetrics within your presentation here, but
8	it seemed to be a little clearer in the plans.
9	MR. WALTERS: I would suggest you go to
10	sheet AO-8, the roof plan with the dimensions, that's the
11	easiest one to kind of understand that setbacks.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: AO-8? Okay, here
13	we go.
14	MR. WALTERS: Starting over at 3 rd Street
15	you can see a dimension of 31 feet 3 inches from the
16	property line, so that would be the dimension or setback
17	from the neighboring row house ultimately that we pulled
18	back.
19	And then as you go back within the alley
20	you'll see a 38-foot setback as you go towards the west,
21	and then when the alley jogs it goes to a 35-foot setback
22	from the property line. And then you turn around the corner
23	I'm sorry, I don't have that. Now let's see 25 feet,
24	no I'm sorry, 27 feet parallel to 4 th Street, the building's

ultimately setback and then on the alley coming off of

1	$4^{ ext{th}}$ Street it is actually going to the main roof there's
2	20 feet 4 inches the setback.
3	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. But those
4	are at I mean let's just look at the 4 th Street behind
5	4^{th} Street, N.E. you have 35-feet from the property line
6	of the row houses to the building but that's at the very
7	top, correct?
8	MR. WALTERS: That's at the very top yes.
9	If you wanted to start at the ground plan okay the first
-0	12 feet would be the alley, the alley supplement, so that
L1	gets you to 20 feet on the alley. Okay?
.2	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Right.
L3	MR. WALTERS: Then the building would rise
L4	up approximately 20 feet for the height of the grocery
.5	store there. And then when you get to the top of the grocery
-6	store it sets back an additional 7 feet at the second floor,
7	so there's a terrace there and then it would rise up five
_8	more floors to the top of the sixth and it steps back
_9	another 8 feet on the terrace there.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: You know, actually,
21	I'm certain you have it here because you have everything
22	here, is there a building section cut right through there.
23	Let's see, that could be probably more helpful to me.
24	
25	MR. WALTERS: This is the situation we just

	were describing.
2	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: What page is that?
3	
4	MR. WALTERS: That is on page A-11 at the
5	very bottom. That's the section that runs to the east-west
6	There's the former Children's Museum 3 rd Street, so the
7	property line, the garage extends to the property line
8	and we're setting back I believe it was 8 feet because
9	we had an existing 12 foot alley, gets us to 20. And
10	then we step back 7 feet at this terrace and then another
11	8 feet at the top on the top two floors.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you.
13	Thank you very much.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else? Mr.
15	Turnbull?
16	You can't ask questions now. You get to
17	testify later but this is not an open forum. Thank you.
18	
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you Madam
20	Chairman. I just had a question for Mr. Walters. On the
21	3^{rd} and H Street perspective here, the view, I see on the
22	roof it sort of shows that you have some trees. But when
23	I'm looking on the roof plan on AO-8 I don't really see
24	any it looks I see pavers in that color but I don't
25	see any, is there a green roof? What are you planning

_	up chere chen
2	MR. WALTERS: The actual green roof would
3	be in the courtyard at the third floor over in the roof
4	of the Safeway.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I noted that.
6	MR. WALTERS: Okay. The roof terrace, what
7	we're anticipating and we just patched pavers in but
8	probably having plants in, like smaller trees and planters
9	on the roof to provide some shade for occupants of the
10	roof.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: How substantial are
12	these?
13	MR. WALTERS: They would probably be
14	something that would be about 3-feet deep and they'd
15	probably be at least 4 feet x 4 feet and planted with kind
16	of a smaller ornamental tree.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you.
18	On 3 rd Street on the ground floor you show this little
19	outdoor café and I'm just curious. It looks like one
20	portion of it now will that be operated then by the
21	grocery store?
22	MR. WALTERS: That's our intent, yes sir.
23	To provide that
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You need to be on the
25	microphone. Did you turn your mike off?

MR. WALTERS: Sorry. It's our intent to provide that opportunity for the grocery to animate that section of the $3^{\rm rd}$ Street corner, yes.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. It looks like there is a glass or I'm looking, it's like some kind of a permanent roof or something, enclosure? There's something on the side there. I see tables and chairs and then I see little rectangles which would imply some kind of an overhang?

MR. WALTERS: I'm sorry. I didn't mention that in my presentation. When we were going through the refinements with CHRS and Stanton Park we derived a pattern of a series of retail bays over there to help scale the facade. And let me get to that drawing and hopefully that'll help illustrate the point. Okay. Here. If you can make it out, here that would be one of the bays, then they're subdivided in the middle and then another bay.

And one of the things we were trying to do was we had to confront the issue of this loading dock door which is, you know, it is large to accommodate the trucks, and were struggling with having things that we're proportionally familiar with so we created the bays that jumped across two residential bays, that gave a nice proportion and helped set up that line for the door as

1	well as animate and bring some scale to the storefronts
2	there.
3	So that's intended as retail storefront bays,
4	and those would be projections into public right of way.
5	
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But there's also
7	it looks like there's like a glass canopy that comes out.
8	Am I reading that right?
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, they would stop
10	at about 15 feet at the top. Now are you speaking about
11	the canopy that wraps around the corner?
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm just looking
13	on drawing AO-1. I see a clearly defined seating area
14	for tables and chairs. And I'm just looking at these
15	rectangles which would imply
16	MR. WALTERS: Oh okay. Those are the
17	transformers vaults. Those are the transformer vaults
18	in the sidewalk.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh they are? Okay.
20	It sort of looked like you had this wonderful like little
21	glass roof structure over there.
22	MR. WALTERS: I wish they were.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: That sounds kind
24	of nice. Okay. I guess my other question then is in
25	the garage with the parking do people take their carts

	down to that rever and then they can take them into the
2	lot? You mentioned a pickup area so are you limited then?
3	They don't take them out into the parking lot per se then?
4	MR. STEUART: The way the customers would
5	navigate into the store, there would be escalators,
6	elevators, as well as cart-o-lator which would allow the
7	customers to load their sundries into a grocery cart and,
8	concurrently, walk or ride the escalator down at the same
9	speed as the cart-o-lator so you and your groceries arrive
10	at the P-1 level at the same time never having taken your
11	eyes off of it. And then you can take it out in the parking
12	plat to load up your car.
13	MR. WALTERS: Additionally, you could use
14	the elevators too if you weren't as adventuresome.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. All right.
16	Thank you. The development's coming along quite nicely.
17	I think it's amazing you got three levels of underground
18	parking. I won't go any further on that one. It's very
19	nice how you did that.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Hood?
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: You said underground
22	parking?
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think he said three
24	levels.
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Three levels. I

_	liave a question for Mr. Wells. I want to tark a fittle
2	bit about traffic. I'm big on traffic, especially as I
3	sit in it quite a bit.
4	Let me make sure I got my orientation correct,
5	I'm usually off, but let me see if I've got this one right.
6	H Street's running east to west, correct?
7	MR. WELLS: Yes sir.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Third Street's
9	running north to south, right?
10	MR. WELLS: Yes sir.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I'm coming east on
12	H Street, coming across the hill and coming down and I'm
13	getting ready to make a left onto 3 rd Street, is there a
14	left hand turn signal there at this point?
15	MR. WELLS: There's what we call a leading
16	left. In other words, the westbound traffic on H Street
17	is stopped for 12 seconds to allow you to make the left
18	turn.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh so it is an arrow
20	that's what I'm used to, leading left. That's good to
21	know.
22	MR. WELLS: Correct.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Leading left. Okay.
24	Now let's go on 3 rd Street, so that answers my question
25	because you did mention in your testimony there's going

1	to be a ten second, you're going to take ten seconds away
2	from H Street's traffic to allow ten additional seconds
3	to
4	MR. WELLS: It's a reallocation issue. It
5	takes 100 seconds to go from red to green to yellow back
6	to red again, a cycle length is 100 seconds. Right now
7	3 rd Street gets 29 of those seconds, I'd like to see it
8	get 39 of those seconds. So the majority of green time
9	would still be allocated to H Street.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.
11	MR. WELLS: And this is taking into account
12	the traffic that would be generated by this project, the
13	Children's Museum and several other projects.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So with that
15	reallocation, that will help not to have a back up I believe
16	on 3 rd Street, am I right?
17	MR. WELLS: Yes sir.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And if I'm coming
19	southbound on 3 rd Street and I'm making a left I guess into
20	the ramp, if I'm making a left into the ramp, you don't
21	anticipate, I'm trying to think. If I'm going northbound
22	we should not have a delay of someone trying to make a
23	left?
24	MR. WELLS: The lion's share of the traffic
25	in this area, I think this is common knowledge that the

1	lion's share of the traffic is on H Street, roughly 2,000
2	peak hour trips. And I believe I testified that on H Street
3	there's a more modest 400, 450 or so peak hour trips.
4	
5	MR. GLASGOW: 3 rd Street.
6	MR. WELLS: 3 rd Street. Excuse me. 3 rd Street
7	carries roughly 400, 450 peak hour trips compared to 2,000
8	on H.
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So is it your
10	testimony, Mr. Wells, that it's not a concern of a back
11	up on northbound on 3 rd Street which would cause a problem
12	for people who are going southbound on 3 rd Street to make
13	a left into the project?
14	MR. WELLS: No sir, I don't believe there
15	will be a problem.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. The other
17	thing is the LSDBEs and I haven't been on this in a while,
18	I'm surprised. The LSDBEs and the DOES agreements that
19	you enter into, and maybe this is to Mr. Glasgow or to
20	Mr. Steuart. But my question is have we already provided
21	some of those jobs that may be looking to in that 51 percent
22	already to the community or working with the Department
23	of Employment Services? Have they already been provided
24	that advance list of what may take place within that 51

percent? And also the LSDBEs. Or are we just waiting

for the agreement and then come up at a later game and say we don't have anyone qualify?

MR. GLASGOW: Well, the way that we have normally done that with them is we negotiate the agreement and then we need to know that we have a project to go forward with to implement the agreement.

And in the past in some projects what we've done is when we know a project is coming on line, we can always coordinate with the ANC, you know, and get back with them and see what job banks they have. Some ANCs have job banks, some don't.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And I don't know if it's been any cases that you've handled, Mr. Glasgow, I've always asked it and I've been just asking that the applicant kind of give as much notice as possible -- those jobs, the LSDBEs and the DOESs because what has happened in the past, and I haven't been asked this in a couple of months, what's happened in the past we wait till the last minute and then we have nobody qualified and the project's ready to move forward and there has not been a pool of resources collected by DOES or the LSDBEs to make sure that the citizens and the residents of the District of Columbia be able to participate. All I can do is ask, as soon as you can, make sure we get that list out there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	56
1	MR. GLASGOW: We can move forward on that.
2	
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Good. All
4	right thank you Madam Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you, Mr. Hood.
6	Mr. Parsons?
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Wells, Mr.
8	Steuart, are you familiar with a report of the Department
9	of Transportation dated June 28?
10	MR. WELLS: Yes sir.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So what they're
12	recommending is a reduction in the number of parking spaces
13	in the garage for grocery store use. And I'm hoping that
14	you could, not that that's their purview, but saying that
15	maybe these less parking spaces being less costly could
16	be used to subsidize more low income housing. Have you
17	got any response to that?
18	MR. STEUART: I noted that to the grocery
19	store tenant today, reciting some of that report. And
20	the response from him was that may be well and good, we
21	don't know for certain. However, this store relative to
22	their prototypical stores is already on the cusp of parking
23	necessities. Reducing the parking level, they think,
24	would make the project untenable for them.
25	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, that's pretty

1 clear isn't it? So if they don't use the parking spaces we could make housing units? No, I'm kidding. 2 It sounds good in theory, 3 MR. STEUART: 4 Commissioner, but a grocery store won't come to the site. 5 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just had a few 8 questions. Mr. Glasgow, what was the amount of the subsidy for the grocery store on Pennsylvania Avenue, S.E.? 9 10 MR. GLASGOW: The amount of the tax abatement, 11 the subsidy that we got from the government, was a little 12 bit in excess of \$3 million dollars. 13 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And is the store 14 approximately the same size as this one? 15 MR. GLASGOW: That store was I think is 16 slightly smaller than this store. And it had, well only 17 two levels of parking versus three, and the number of spaces, what I did is when I went back to take a look at 18 that because I assumed somebody would ask the question, 19 20 the cost of the parking spaces back then was about \$20,000 21 to \$25,000 dollars. That was about three years ago that 22 we did that. And the cost of the parking spaces right now pretty much any below grade parking space that we're 23 having price right now is about \$35,000 dollars a space. 24

So when you start going to apples to apples

2	at about a \$3 million dollar subsidy in the one case and
3	this one we're looking at a subsidy of over \$4 million
4	dollars. And a lot of that's just eaten up by the
5	construction cost.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. In the submission
7	that we got, I guess this one was on the 28^{th} April, it
8	said that you'd commissioned an economic consultant, which
9	I assume is Mr. Smart, to perform further study on the
10	burden of the grocery store to the project as a whole.
11	We don't have much in the record, and it's not something
12	that I want to go into a lot of detail here, but if there
13	was something, an additional submission on it about what
14	that analysis really entailed, I think that might be
15	helpful.
16	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, we have that submission.
17	We have that analysis.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. If you could
19	submit that for the record, that would be helpful.
20	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, we'll submit that for
21	the record.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: This is for Mr. Walters
23	a couple of questions for Mr. Walters. You noted when
24	you were describing the building that there will be a
25	garage air intake that's immediately adjacent to the

to the cost of the space, it's about the same. We looked

1	townhouse, the southernmost townhouse that abuts the
2	property on 3 rd Street. What's the sound volume when the
3	air intake, I assume there's a fan. What kind of volume
4	is that?
5	MR. WALTERS: Those fans can be placed down
6	in the garage so they're pulling air down as opposed to
7	at the top and pushing it, so the noise level should be
8	minimal if anything.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The sound is buffered?
10	
11	MR. WALTERS: We could engage consultants
12	just to verify that it wouldn't exceed so many decibels
13	at the
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: My main concern is that
15	often, you know, you just pass by a garage entrance or
16	something and there'll be one of those fans and they can
17	be quite loud when they're on.
18	MR. WALTERS: It's typically the exhaust
19	is the one that you tend to notice.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I see. Okay, where's
21	that?
22	MR. WALTERS: Actually, that's something
23	we worked very hard on. It's in the middle of the building,
24	that rectangle, so that goes up and resides in the
25	penthouse. Or I'm sorry, it resides in the lower penthouse.

It terminates right there so the blow out on the roof

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay, so it's the exhaust one that makes most of the noise. Okay. The loading area for the residential portion of the building and then the three small retail stores is immediately adjacent to the townhouse that's on 4th Street and I guess I'm just wondering, that's at least initially and then depending on the amount of turnover that will be in the residential units and the number of deliveries that may be to those retailers, that just strikes me as a lot of impact on that short section of alley immediately adjacent to someone's house.

I don't know if you've thought at all about how to mitigate the impact on that property owner?

MR. WALTERS: Well we have pulled the alley back, we've pulled it back the other 12 feet so there's a 20 foot buffer to the building. Also, one thing you may not have made out, the building actually cantilevers, that's the line of the building above and the spaces, just given the kind of geometric constraints, the trucks actually kind of half come under that overhang so they are somewhat mitigated by being sheltered by the building.

There's one of the sections that shows how

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the building kind of comes in and goes over the loading areas, it covers --2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I guess what I'm 3 4 thinking about is, you know, when the trucks are I don't 5 know how they go in, I guess they go in head first? Actually, they would probably 6 MR. WALTERS: 7 have to back, a larger truck would have to back in and 8 then work into --9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes, that's the kind 10 of thing that's annoying to somebody if they have to hear that a lot. 11 So I'm just, you know, my main concerns, 12 the only negative comments we have in the record relate 13 to the juxtaposition of this development with these 14 townhouses, and I'm trying to focus on those areas where 15 there's going to be the greatest impact. 16 So I would just ask if you could give any 17 additional consideration to how that might be mitigated 18 because that strikes me as something that could be quite offensive to someone. 19 20 One thing is we've designed MR. WALTERS: it and showed a full size 55 foot truck, and indeed we 21 22 have the capacity for it, but as you know at the first of the month when you see people moving around the city 23 it typically occurs in much smaller trucks than 30-foot 24 25 trucks that aren't quite as offensive. So we had to build

1 in the capacity for 55 but probably in fact a significant of the move-ins would be occurring in smaller vehicles. 2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: But they'd still be 3 4 backing the trucks up, right? 5 MR. WALTERS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 6 Okay. Well I'm sorry, actually a 7 MR. WALTERS: 8 smaller truck could probably come in head in. If it was a smaller truck it could come in head in, it could make 9 10 the turn and get into the loading dock. 11 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I mean just in 12 some other cases when we've had issues related to sizes 13 of trucks and so on, there have been proffers of limiting the size of trucks that can use the loading and so I'd 14 15 ask you all to give that some consideration if in fact 16 those kinds of trucks could go in and out head first. 17 I'm just trying to think of things that can be done to 18 mitigate that. 19 And then I was just hoping we could get a 20 couple of, just to give us some perspective on what DDOT's 21 suggestion was and just the way the parking for the 22 supermarket would be, you know, the adequacy of it. 23 There's at least a couple of stores that I'm familiar with and I have a sense of what it's like to be at maximum 24

capacity for the number of parking spaces there, so would

it be possible for you to just give us some examples?

And the one I'm interested in is the Whole Foods on P Street because that's the one I'm most familiar with. But to say here's how big the store is and here's how many parking spaces they have, so that we just get a sense of this is what's working around the city and how this relates to that.

MR. WELLS: If I might, ITE or Institute of Transportation Engineers, is a very important industry standard for us. ITE distinguishes between suburban supermarkets, they don't call them grocery stores, ITE chooses to call them supermarkets, suburban supermarkets and urban supermarkets.

MR. STEUART: Get the mike closer to you.

MR. WELLS: ITE in their data base suggest for urban supermarkets that the parking ratio, the average parking ratio, that is to say the peak number of space that would be occupied during the peak time, would be about 2.27 spaces per 1,000 square feet. By a remarkable coincidence, that's exactly the parking ratio here, 121 parking spaces for a 53,300 square foot store. So that may give you some comfort -- it does me -- that for an average at least industry standard urban supermarket we're parked at about the industry average.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You know, it gives me
2	some comfort but there's also something that I believe
3	about urban grocery stores which is, that as opposed to
4	what goes on in the suburbs there's many more people
5	working and I think there's maybe more people in the
6	suburbs that don't work and I'm sure it peaks at rush hours
7	and stuff, but I bet it's a flatter bell curve. I don't
8	know. So I'd still be interested in those numbers.
9	MR. WELLS: Very fine. We'll provide you
10	with information.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thanks. Okay. I think
12	that's all the questions that I have then. Mr. Jeffries?
13	
14	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Just one question
15	for Mr. Walters. I look at your perspective A-20 and I
16	believe you put a slide up for the Ellington, did you put
17	a slide up for the Ellington
18	MR. WALTERS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So was that, mean
20	it really looks sort of like the Ellington quire frankly
21	I mean in terms of how it sits in the context here. The
22	brick that you cite in your submission, is that the similar
23	brick that's at the Ellington?
24	MR. WALTERS: No, because unfortunately that
25	brick's not available any more. The manufacturers went

1	out. But the blonde brick on the eastern portion of the
2	building, my colleague told us is somewhat similar in
3	character and then the red brick is, actually that brick
4	is very similar to what we used on the smaller portion
5	of the Ellington.
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I mean if one were
7	to go and look at the Ellington, it might give, would you
8	say it might give some insight as to how this will look?
9	Because it's the same height isn't it, pretty much?
10	MR. WALTERS: That building's 82 feet so
11	it's very close.
12	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. It's close.
13	Okay.
14	MR. WALTERS: And it does step down at the
15	eastern edge also. It was split zoned as this is. Oh
16	I'm sorry it was split zoned.
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'm glad you asked the
19	question about the Ellington and I just can't remember
20	well enough so I have to ask. What's behind the Ellington?
21	Is it townhouses or what is it?
22	MR. GLASGOW: It depends what part of the
23	Ellington you're talking about. Maurice, if you want to
24	go through that say from on the east side it's townhouses,
25	in the center there's a field, rec center.

1 COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: She's interested in the north side. 2 3 MR. GLASGOW: Yes, that's on the north side. 4 Yes that's on the north side. 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. MR. GLASGOW: So on the north side on part 6 7 of it there's townhouses and on part of it there's a rec 8 center or a field. 9 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you. 10 Anyone else have follow up? Okay. Mr. Dixon, did you 11 have any cross examination questions? Okay. Very good. 12 Thank you gentlemen. 13 MR. GLASGOW: Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Now we're ready for 15 the report from the Office of Planning. Good evening Mr. 16 Parker. 17 MR. PARKER: Good evening, Madam Chairman and Members of the Commission. My name is Travis Parker 18 with the Office of Planning. 19 20 We have before us a consolidated PUD, as 21 you've heard, which involves a map amendment for part of 22 the site from C-2-A to C-2-B. The applicants are requesting relief to allow approximately 5.0 FAR height 23 up to 90 feet, relief from roof structures, from lot 24 25 occupancy on the second floor, from rec space from 15

percent to 10 percent, and loading to combine the retail and residential loading platform.

The benefits being offered by this property include a grocery store, which is now approximately 58,000 square feet, affordable housing at 10 percent of the bonus density being offered, which OP calculates around 10,000 square feet, the site design, the applicant has worked extensively with OP and with the Capitol Hill Restoration Society on the architecture of the building. It's generally compatible and sensitive to the other uses along H Street. As you can see it steps down from the Children's Museum to the uses to the east.

The alley widening is a significant amenity, the 20-foot alley, to provide access to the rear of the existing properties. And, of course, the first source and LSDBE.

In terms of the comp plan OP finds that this application is generally consistent with the major themes of the comp plan and it's consistent with specific themes, including the redevelopment of unused parcels and the Ward 6 plan regarding H Street and revitalization of this corridor.

In terms of the H Street plan, the plan does call for residential and grocery store use in this location, and this site is generally competitive with that plan and

with the design guidelines therein. There were several OP and community comments at the set down report and prior to this point and the applicant has in general responded to these comments. The vertical definition of the building along H Street, the horizontal definition along 3rd Street have both changed to bring the building into a more sensitive relationship with its neighbors.

The area containing the parking garage entrance has been recessed and lowered in relation to the row houses to the north, and the center residential wing has been recessed approximately 8 feet.

There were two outstanding comments that OP had in the set down report and both have been brought up by the Commission. The first was regarding the level of subsidy of the grocery store and the applicant has addressed that, and the second was the center residential wing. That has changed slightly in this but tonight is the first time that I've had a chance to look at it so OP doesn't have a fully formed recommendation on that particular aspect. But in general we're very supportive of the project and compliment the applicant on their design and recommend in favor.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Questions for Mr. Parker? Questions anyone? Okay. Mr. Glasgow,

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

any questions?

2.0

MR. GLASGOW: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Commissioner Dixon, any questions for the Office of Planning? All right. Thank you very much.

Actually, you know, I did have a question for you, and maybe this is just something that we'll leave the record open for because you just said you don't have a fully formed opinion on the subject.

But we have a new set of shadow studies, A-24, and I don't know if you want to just completely hold off on a reaction, but I'd like to know whether you're satisfied. If you look at the shadow study for November 8th at 2 p.m., there's a lot of shadow falling on those townhouses and I'd be very interested in knowing if you're satisfied that the massing as it relates to those townhouses has been dealt with sufficiently?

MR. PARKER: I would hold off on answering that completely but I think our original thought on the massing had been rather than to pull the entire building back 8 feet, to lower the entire wing slightly and leave the setback where it was. But I understand that there may be more structural issues with that than what's been proposed. So I will hold back on that complete recommendation at this time.

	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. But we'd be
2	interested, I'd be interested in your assessment of that.
3	MR. PARKER: Sure. Sure.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Okay. We
5	have the DDOT report in the record. There isn't anyone
6	here from another government agency that plans to testify?
7	Okay. Then we're ready for the report of the ANC.
8	Commissioner Dixon?
9	MR. DIXON: Good evening. I appreciate
10	you letting us give our little remarks on the development
11	that's coming on. We have had several meetings with the
12	applicants and what I would like to do is have my
13	commissioner of the single member district who represents
14	this area to have a few comments and say a few words if
15	you don't mind.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, that would be great.
17	
18	MR. RIVERA: My name is Anthony Rivera,
19	commissioner 6C-05. After reviewing the plans and having
20	several meetings, starting last year, with Steuart
21	Investment Group, I surmised that they were doing a very
22	good job in planning the project.
23	Out of all the projects that are going on
24	in my single member district, immediately I brought up
25	parking, like I do all the time, and they addressed that

immediately because Mr. Glasgow knew that that was a big issue because in our area our parking is rather sparse here, especially with the construction. We have a lot of people that come from other parts in Ward 6 and park in our area and catch the Metro. And then right now underneath the Children's Museum and all the other construction we have a lot of people parking in our area during the day.

And I talked with Mr. Steuart and Mr. Glasgow about that and they said that they would be willing to entertain and try to solve this problem by parking at a different location for their workers, which I was very happy about that because it's been a large impact on the community, even right now, during the construction of the Children's Museum.

Also, there's an issue of addressing the construction. I mean if this thing happens, the people that live close by, and I do, less than 20 feet from the site, I mean from the square, we're going to deal with a lot of trucks like we're doing now on 3rd Street as far as commercial trucks, and they said they would make every effort to make sure that they were going to hose the trucks down and make sure everything is clean which no developer has said that yet out of the few projects that are going in our area.

And this is one of the projects that has had, like I said, more parking spaces than the other ones there in the area.

Also, I discussed with them throughout the ten or 15 meetings, well let me back up real quick. In the beginning, I asked them specifically to go to every community organization in the area, and they actually did that and attended all the meetings. Everybody doesn't does that, as you well know. That was a great plus.

And in notification, I asked them to extend the area and the meldings of all the proceedings to deal with this area, so not only the people that's right in the square or 100 feet away, but I asked them to double the size of the meldings so everybody in the community will be actually notified of the project.

So moving on, I don't want to take too much of your time, there's another concern that we had talked about with the developers that are coming in the area establishing a bid for our area for H Street and Steuart Investment said that they would be more than willing to come in and help us establish a bid. I'd like to have a separate one from North Capitol since we've been separate, you know, anything on the other side or near H Street was taboo, but now since we're becoming more popular, everybody wants to come around.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

So I think we ought to have a separate bid because I think we have a unique mix of commercial there and we need to have a separate bid to service our corridor and our residents.

In addition, I asked the developer, and I have a supplemental letter to the ANC letter maybe in the SMD, which states these two things that we talked about with the developer. Also, we wanted the developer to put forth resources and a survey on making the area an historic area. I would like to see the area possibly become historic all the way to Florida Avenue. So they have made a commitment to help fund the study to see if that is possible.

All in all, I support the project like the letter stated. I mean we do have some concerns about massing but to get the grocery store, I mean maybe that's what we have to deal with at the time. But all in all, as being the SMD I support the project and they did do all the things, more than any other developer that has come, did all the things that we asked them to do. So I'm very happy about that at least. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I just want to have a little side comment to Mr. Glasgow which is you'll have to tell us if you're making a proffer for the things that Mr. Rivera just pointed out that have been

- | | -

2	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, and they're reflected
3	in his letter.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need it from you.
5	MR. GLASGOW: Yes. As reflected in his
6	letter we have agreed to those items.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Great.
8	Commissioner Dixon?
9	MR. DIXON: Well one more thing I wanted
10	to say that I asked Mr. Glasgow also to try to get the
11	business people on H Street together to work with me in
12	trying to establish a business association of H Street
13	so they can have some say so what goes on on H Street,
14	you know, and they come down and represent the association
15	at my ANC meetings when things like this come up.
16	I want the business, all the business to get
17	together and have some kind of say so, what's going on.
18	I mean on H Street we have almost 1,000 businesses down
19	there and we just need to have them to have some say so
20	about what's going on, who's coming in, who's going out.
21	Anything. And be able to be a representation at my ANC
22	meetings. I really look for that. I asked for that.
23	And we're trying to establish that.
24	And Mr. Steuart and them said they would help
25	me work with that and try to get it together and put some

1	funds in the pot to start it off. We have a lot of nickel
2	and dime businesses that can't afford to do a lot, but
3	those who can do they need to help out until the ones who
4	can move up and help, you know, establish that.
5	And all this business, you know, we can do
6	things like to bid, you know, having people help keep the
7	area clean because they help us out in security. They
8	help us out with the police department when we do these
9	things and that's what we're trying to do. As long as
10	you've got people out there doing something positive, then
11	that helps out, you don't have policemen out there because
12	ain't nobody going to do too much in front of everybody.
13	It helps out, you know, what you're paying for.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh absolutely.
15	MR. DIXON: And I see it downtown and we
16	need to have it in the community. And it's working
17	downtown on F Street, and it can work on H. And I need
18	to they're going to work with me and I like that. So
19	we're going to see if we can get that started.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Did you
21	have another comment?
22	MR. RIVERA: No, if I just could continue.
23	
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
25	MR. RIVERA: Well I mean your comment about

1 the record and about making sure everything is on the record, I was just following up on that comment. 2 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 3 Okay. Yes. And we 4 need to get your letter in the record. 5 Yes, I have that. MR. RIVERA: CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Fabulous. 6 7 RIVERA: We're making sure that 8 everything is done. That's the only comment I had. Thank you very much. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Hold your seat for just 11 a second, we're going to see if anybody has any questions. 12 Anybody have any questions? 13 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Mr. Rivera, I just 14 had one quick question and I want to applaud you, your 15 ANC for going above and beyond of making sure they got 16 the notice. That was one of the questions that I wanted 17 to ask and it appears that asking the applicant to make 18 sure it goes beyond that 200 feet area I think really is a credit to your ANC so I'm going to commend you all on 19 20 that. 21 The other thing is, even though it's not 22 legally required Commissioner, did you have a single 23 member district meeting and, if you did, what did you hear from the residents honestly because sometimes it reflects 24 25 from the Commissioner's vote and sometimes it doesn't.

MR. RIVERA: I had a single member district meeting on June 6th and basically we had some concerns from people that live to the north of the square as far as the shadow study, which is a concern when you talk about a building with this much massing.

That particular individual, and I can't think of her name right now and it was maybe one other, did talk with the architect and I guess she had her questions

of her name right now and it was maybe one other, did talk with the architect and I guess she had her questions answered. But I don't know the outcome, I haven't heard anything from her in regard to that. And I have talked with her since the June 6th meeting.

We had, for the record and it's in my letter, a June 6^{th} single member district meeting, June 7^{th} a planning and zoning meeting and June 8^{th} Capitol Hill Restoration, but I have the full list in my letter.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

MR. RIVERA: That was very important because I've seen it happen before in other developments where people come and say well you didn't let us know and you didn't come to our community, so I was a real stickler and I told Mr. Glasgow this time we can't have this happen again with people not being notified.

VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: My hat's off to you and it sounds like you've done everything and you've always got somebody who says they didn't know. But my hat's off

_	
2	But let me just say, Commissioner, you have
3	Bill Brown and Harry Jones and those guys down there, get
4	them involved and help you get that going. Thank you
5	Madam Chair.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Tell them Anthony Hood
7	sent you.
8	MR. RIVERA: Well, he has been very
9	instrumental, just for the record, with the other projects
10	that I have by giving me a meeting space that is a lot
11	closer to our actual area than having to go to a farther
12	place. So he has helped me personally. Well not personally
13	I mean within the confines of my position to provide a
14	meeting place.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Commissioner Jeffries,
16	did you have
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. This is for
18	Mr. Glasgow. Mr. Dixon mentioned I think a third item,
19	is the applicant going to be proffering something along
20	the lines of working with the because that's not in
21	the letter I don't think.
22	MR. GLASGOW: I think that's part of the
23	bid. That's part of getting
24	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: That would be part
25	of the bid? Oh okay. Okay. Great. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. And Mr. Glasgow did you have any questions for this gentleman? 2 3 MR. GLASGOW: No questions. 4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you both All right. I have my witness list and we'll 5 very much. start with the organizations and persons in support and 6 7 first up I have Anwar Saleem representing H Street Main 8 Street, and Todd Davis and Mike Bober. Mr. Saleem, you know the drill so we'll let you go first. 9 10 MR. SALEEM: Good evening. My name is Anwar 11 Saleem, the Chairperson of H Street Main Street. On behalf 12 of the merchants along the H Street corridor we support 13 Steuart Investment's H Street LLC project on the northern side of the 300 block of H Street, Northeast D.C. 14 15 This project is consistent with the H Street 16 development plan and the H Street overlay and will serve 17 as a critical component in fulfilling the objectives of 18 these plans. 19 Over 500 residents, property owners and 20 merchants participated in the development of these plans, one that was finally approved by this body. 21 22 This proposed project is far superior to what 23 had been proposed on the site only a few years ago by the prior owner, a block-long Las Vegas-style gas station with 24 25 a convenience store and a car wash. The formulation of

1	the H Street revival plan and this project offers much
2	more.
3	This development will not only complement
4	the Senate Square project directly west of this project
5	but will serve as a catalyst to attract a much needed
6	critical mass serving new businesses while supporting the
7	existing businesses that are now located along this
8	13-block long corridor.
9	It would also provide much needed housing
10	and jobs for the immediate community.
11	In this proposal are plans for a grocery store
12	that is vital to our vision for an attractive and vibrant
13	commercial corridor servicing a revived residential
14	community.
15	From all the information that we have in our
16	data base, this is the only site along the H Street corridor
17	that can accommodate a grocery store without upsetting
18	the fabric of existing businesses therefore offering the
19	best chance to welcome a full service grocery to the
20	neighborhood.
21	Finally, this project will serve as another
22	component in providing a much needed tax base and assist
23	in the clean and safe efforts by the merchants in this
24	area.

We, the merchant association, H Street - Main

1	Street, look forward to working with Steuart Investment
2	in the establishment of clean and safe programs on the
3	H Street corridor as he has agreed to be one of the first
4	major large property owners to assist in this long overdue
5	need for the corridor.
6	Therefore, we solicit your support and the
7	approval of this application and assist us in the
8	realization of our vision for a vibrant business corridor.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you Mr. Saleem.
10	Mr. Davis?
11	MR. DAVIS: Yes. Unfortunately, I don't
12	have prepared comments like Mr. Saleem but I did want to
13	go over a couple of things.
14	As he said, this is consistent with the H
15	Street plan and I have attended several community meetings
16	before this that went over what they envision for this
17	area of H Street. And if they could pick a project and
18	say, "This is what we envision for this area," this project
19	would be it.
20	A lot has been mentioned about the fact that
21	traffic will increase in the area and that traffic will
22	increase during construction, and that's probably true.
23	We probably will see a traffic increase but I think that
24	it's a small price to pay for the benefit that we'll have
25	of having a nice grocery store in our neighborhood.

1	If any of you are familiar with H Street,
2	you'll realize if you go down there right now and look
3	at the 300 block of H, you have two businesses down there.
4	You have a daycare center and you have a liquor store.
5	Those are the only two businesses on that block of H
6	Street right now.
7	You guys have the opportunity to offer us
8	some additional services in our neighborhood that are much
9	needed, and we're willing to put up with a couple of
LO	inconveniences like maybe some traffic and things like
L1	that.
.2	This is a development that's close to the
_3	Metro so while traffic might increase, you have to keep
L4	in mind it might decrease in some sense, too. I'll no
L5	longer be driving to Virginia to get my groceries, I'll
L6	actually have a nice grocery store in my own neighborhood.
L7	
-8	So I would encourage you to think about the
_9	benefits and encourage you to allow us this great project
20	in our neighborhood and grant Steuart Investment the PUD
21	that they seek.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you Mr. Davis.
23	Mr. Bober?
24	MR. BOBER: I am actually a resident of the
25	neighborhood on the same side of H Street as this project

four blocks to the east. I am on 7th and H.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And just state your name for the record.

MR. BOBER: Mike Bober. Sorry about that.

But I am looking at this project from the perspective of someone who is further along H Street and really looking at what the potential for this project has with regard to the entire H Street concept, the entire plan that's been put forward.

We've seen the beginnings of some development all the way down at the end at 14th Street working its way back toward the North Capitol area. We've got a great start with Senate Square, but the question is what is going to draw the people from the Senate Square area further down H Street and really make the H Street corridor into one contiguous and active area.

And a project like this that has grocery, it has residential and it has the potential for additional retail as well, is going to be just that kind of project. It's going to be something that's going to encourage people to not go to the west but instead to keep going to the east and to really drive businesses. We've got a new bookstore that's opening on H Street within the next month, we have an individually owned coffee shop that's coming in on the 400 block that's going to be a wonderful

addition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

And anything that we can do to get the people who are going to be living on the very furthest westernmost side of H Street to become real residents of H Street and not just people who have that as their address, to me that's a brilliant idea and that's something that being at the 700 block I support wholeheartedly because, frankly, I don't really think that restaurants and bars all the way down at 13th and 14th are going to be enough to revitalize this area by themselves.

We need fresh produce, fresh meat, fresh seafood and a grocery store right on H Street is exactly that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Questions for the panel? Any questions? Mr. Glasgow, any questions?

16

17

MR. GLASGOW: No questions.

18

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Commissioner Dixon,

19 20 any questions? All right. Thank you gentlemen very much.

21

support? Anyone else who'd like to testify in support?

Is there anyone else who would like to testify in

22

Okay. Then we'll move to the folks who are opposition.

23

I have Mackie McCrea, I have Elsbeth Purdy,

24

Nathan, and I can't read your --

25

I think we'll have a full table and then we'll

1 go from there. I think I have more people than I called. Why don't we work our way from this side down. Okay. 2 We'll go from that end of the table down. 3 4 MR. McCREA: Thank you very much. 5 I start, and I know time is of the essence and stuff, but I need to say --6 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Would you say who you 8 are first? MR. McCREA: Oh okay. My name is Mac McCrea. 9 10 I'm a resident of Square 776. I'm also the representative 11 for a lot of the senior citizens that live on that square. 12 Before I get into my actual formal testimony 13 I need to say something to the Commission because I've 14 been sitting here chomping at the bit hearing all the 15 testimony and seeing the protocol of allowing the 16 developer to come first and give all this testimony and we have to sit through this, and then having all the people 17 for the project. And it's somewhat unfair to us because 18 it makes us wait an awful long time. Some of the people 19 20 who would have come, no way in the world they could have 21 stayed here this long. So in the future you may want 22 to consider mixing it up a little bit to give the opposing side a fair shot. 23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We have standard rules 24 25 of procedure and if you look in our regulations you'll

see that this is the order that we --

2.0

MR. McCREA: I understand. I'm familiar with the regulations but I'm talking about in terms of just sheer fairness of the process. Okay. Thank you very much.

Now if I may I'd like to get into my formal testimony.

Chairman Mitten and other Commissioners, I'm a lifelong Washington, D.C. resident and property owner of Square 776. I also humbly serve as co-chairman of Square 776 Committee that is in strong opposition to this proposed development and this continued aggressive assault on Square 776 by this developer, Steuart Incorporated.

As spokesman for Square 776 Committee, we are strongly opposed to this development. We residents of Square 776 strongly oppose the developer's attempt to close or reconfigure our alleyways. The alleyways have served us well through decades, they provide access to our backyards for parking and other activities. They provide access for all city services that we as taxpayers are entitled to.

They also provide access for emergency vehicles. A few years ago we had a serious three-alarm fire on the Square that made the television news and which

required hook and ladders to put the fire out. And if they didn't have access to the back of our houses, they would have burned down.

We ask your Commission to strongly look at

We ask your Commission to strongly look at the track record of this developer. These are the same guys, along with BP Amoco, that attempted to run that truck-stop style gas station on the Square. They didn't have a problem with that. That was fine. They all agreed to it.

This group was very shrewd and manipulative and attempted to dictate to our community. Despite the shenanigans of our ANC, that frequently ignored residents' concerns and are pro-development, a bipartisan group of civic associations, community leaders both black and white, along Square 776 was able to temporarily stop this previous assault with this gas station. Okay?

We know that development is coming, but we ask your assistance in making sure that residents at least have some say.

The developers are profiteers. They have no conscience or civic concern. After they build their towers, and make millions, they will leave the problems of over-development to the residents who are left to deal with it.

We say this is not right. Let's make this

NEAL R. GROSS

a fair fight. We ask you to look at their attempt to circumvent your zoning rules by this land grab. Part of the parcels is zoned as, excuse me if I get the actual numbers wrong, C-2-B and there's a part in the center of the square that has a different zone.

The gentleman testified that it was simply overlooked. He don't know the history of the square. That was a different parcel that was owned by a different business and that's why it was zoned differently.

Now in this attempt to land grab they want to merge it all together like it never existed before. It is our understanding that the lots in the heart of Square 776 are subject to different zoning rules than the lots that are adjacent to 3rd and H Streets.

We want to know in the Square what is next.

Will they attempt to zone our houses off the square?

Please don't allow them to do this. We have property rights, too.

We also ask that if this bad project is allowed, please make them cut the height of the building at least in half so it won't dwarf our houses and restrict the natural sunlight. They showed you on the screen how big the building is. Commissioner Jeffries mentioned how it looks like a warehouse-type. Yes, that's what it looks like. If you're familiar with the Ellington structure,

2 the surrounding area. Okay. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing 3 4 thought because you're just about out of time. 5 MR. McCREA: Okay. Closing thought. Thank you so much. 6 We simply ask that our rights as property 7 8 owners and citizens be adhered to. The developer at no time sat and talked with the people on the Square. All 9 10 the people who testified before you from the different 11 organizations, one, do not live on the Square; two, most 12 of them live from four to six residential squares away 13 but yet they're going to dictate what's good for our 14 square. 15 And, finally, one more point. Yes, the 16 neighborhood needs a grocery store. Historically the 17 grocery store was centered on the H Street corridor and 18 that made sense. You ask the Safeways that were there. 19 What they're doing they're putting the 20 grocery at one end, if you look at your dais, imagine the grocery store being where the flag is. All these residents 21 22 got to walk essentially 13 blocks to get there. That 23 grocery store is being set up for that development for their private grocery store. And parking is going to be 24 25 atrocious and you know it. Thank you very much.

it's a box structure on U Street, I'm very familiar with

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I don't
2	know your name sir, you'll have to introduce yourself and
3	use the same mike as Mr. McCrea if you would.
4	MR. BARBOSA: Okay. My name is Francisco
5	Barbosa. I live in 309 Eye Street. I guess I'm only one
6	it will hurt. My English is not that good. I just don't
7	want it. I don't want them to close the alleys. I don't
8	want them to go over the top of my house. This I have
9	to say.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you. Would
11	you mind shutting off the mike then.
12	MR. McCREA: Can I show you these?
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You'd have to submit
14	them for the record if you're going to put them in.
15	MR. McCREA: They're already in a previous
16	record.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: In the record of a
18	previous case?
19	MR. McCREA: That's right.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: They're not in the
21	record of this case then. You'd have to submit them again
22	and if you want to, I suggest you label them so that we'll
23	know what we're looking at.
24	MR. McCREA: I was just wondering since you
25	

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Your turn's over
2	now. We're going to go on. So the record will remain
3	open and if you want to submit additional things please
4	do that.
5	MR. McCREA: Commissioner, if I may
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You need to be on the
7	microphone if you're going to talk to me.
8	MR. McCREA: Commissioner, if I may I simply
9	just wanted you to see if because even though they have
10	beautiful sketches up there, those are two dimensional.
11	When you actually it, it'll give you a better view.
12	That's all this is.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And that's fine. That's
14	fine.
15	MR. McCREA: Okay. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Ms. Purdy?
17	MS. PURDY: Hi, my name is Elsbeth Purdy,
18	sorry I'm really new at this. And we live at 816 4 th Street
19	so we are one of the townhouses that will be in the shadow.
20	Our townhouse is only two stories high. It's maybe about
21	30 feet and if you look, I mean I think that the actual
22	setback of the building will be above where our back window
23	looks out so I don't really know, you know, it's
24	monstrously tall for that area. Our house is going to

be a third the size of the building right behind it.

1	Also, you know, we park in the back lot and
2	that's really important to me as a young woman living in
3	D.C. I want to be able to have my back lot to park in
4	and I understand that they're going to be opening the
5	alleys larger, which will help us, but in that diagram
6	that I saw if there's going to be trucks coming in and
7	out, I mean is that not going to block up that area?
8	Also, 4^{th} Street is a one way street and I
9	don't know about those traffic reports that they were
10	giving but I really disagree because I wake up, I mean
11	in the morning 4^{th} Street is packed full. It goes out and
12	it goes across Eye Street and it's just packed in the
13	afternoon as well.
14	I don't understand how they're going to have
15	major vehicles backing into that alley on 4 th Street, or
16	even pulling in front way to that alley, when it's already
17	very congested at peak hours. I mean I guess they've done
18	studies but it's much more congested I would say than 3 rd
19	Street is from living there and what I've seen. I guess
20	that's all I have to say.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And Mr. Purdy?
22	
23	MR. DESJARDINS: Soon to be Mr. Purdy my
24	name's Nathan DesJardins.
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, I'm sorry. I had

1 another Purdy so I'm sorry. Okay. Sorry. MR. DESJARDINS: He'll be up. I also live 2 in the same housing that she does right in the middle of 3 4th Street. 4 5 My biggest concerns actually came up from their presentation and picking it apart. The trash 6 disposal is going to be in the back alley which is actually 7 8 going to be facing all the backyards of all the residents there, so there's going to be a very strong odor going 9 10 down the alleyway into the houses. 30-foot tractor/trailer truck, if it's trying to pull out 11 of they alley onto 4th Street, isn't going to be able to 12 13 do so with two lanes of parking on both sides of the street. It won't make the turn. 14 15 There's also a bus stop right there too, in 16 between the alley and H Street, and if they're somehow 17 planning to back in from there there's no way a large truck would be able to do that. They'd actually be blocking 18 19 H Street to do that. The front of the truck would be in 20 H Street as they're doing that. 21 In the diagram that truck would be idling 22 next to somebody's house, within a few feet, and the motor 23 would be sitting next to somebody's external wall to their

NEAL R. GROSS

As she said, I think the traffic measurements

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

house.

24

are off. Fourth Street backs up to Eye Street, from H to Eye, it backs up regularly, morning, afternoon. And even turning from H Street onto 3rd, as that left turn we were talking about, there may be a left turn arrow but either way I've done as it is now and it doesn't seem too easy.

Even a bigger concern, and I've only been here for a few months, but as far as I can tell H Street and New York Ave. are the two major thoroughfares to get to Route 50 so the city flows from Capitol Hill down H Street and then onto, and I forget the name of the street there, it's directly onto H Street. What is it? Yes, Bladensburg Avenue (sic). So it's already a very congested street. It's bumper to bumper from 3:30 all the way up till 6:30, so I don't see how that would be a possibility to add more traffic into the mix.

Also, I don't know what type of store we're talking about, but Whole Foods is a whole different dynamic than say Stop N Shop, and the dynamic of the customer is different.

We ride our tandem bicycle over to the Northwest Whole Foods and if you look there'll be a whole long line of bikes locked up and a whole bunch of people walking. It's a different dynamic of people. So if it's not a Whole Foods it's going to be scary.

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing thought because you're about out of time. 2 3 MR. DESJARDINS: Also, the mail that we 4 received the notification of this meeting wasn't certified. 5 Ours was actually open, and I don't know if it was actually sent to the owners. We're actually renters so I'm sure 6 7 they're actually getting to the homeowners. And as far 8 as the meetings we haven't heard anything about going to any other meeting besides this. Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you. Sir? 11 12 MR. LUNSFORD: Good evening. My name is 13 Roy Lunsford, I live at 323 Eye Street, N.E., also in the 14 776 square. I'm a lifelong resident. 15 I don't want to seem negative or to kick the 16 developers out. My biggest thing about the developers, 17 the ANC guy claims they've met with them on different 18 occasions. At no time have we been notified of any meetings or anything. The only person I've been working with is 19 20 Mr. McCrea and we worked against the BP people some years 21 ago about their development that they wanted to do on H 22 Street. 23 Now again this guy's back with a different type of development offering a store and a residential 24 25 But, at the same time, they're closing off the area.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

alley. And closing off that alley, which is not an alley it's a court, it's Brewer's Court from H Street to K Street, south to north. That alley runs straight through from H Street all the way to K, which is Brewer's Court which years ago had houses already back there.

So now we're going with a 200 some unit residential deal, they're putting up a 1,000 unit residential deal on 3rd Street where the old Children's Museum used to be. Now they claim they're having parking for them. They're also offering parking. What's going to happen when the people decide they don't want to park in the parking structures that they are providing for them? They're going to overload our area where we can't park.

As it is now while the construction's going on, which I can deal with, the developer there has provided some parking. But at 5:30 in the morning on Eye Street the street is full, there's no more parking available.

At 5:30 in the morning on 4th Street the street is full, there's no more parking available.

Now we add on more, the residential area, you know, housing. Two people to a household more than likely, possibly two cars or at least one and a half, you know, if you want to do a count or a ratio of how things are going go but I'm looking at 1,000 units. Then you're talking about the other place they're going to build across

from the Children's Museum, are they going to have parking for them? Where are we going to be left?

And the other thing is most of the people in 776, other than these people here, are senior citizens who've been there, you know, taxpayers all their lives. And nobody's offered them anything. Nobody has come and said what's going to happen, how it's going to happen, what's the time period involved. Nothing.

And my man back here said something about the H Street development. H Street development hasn't said anything to anybody in 776.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing thought now.

MR. LUNSFORD: I don't want to see the alley closed. My closing thought is I don't care how you all do the development but leave the alley so we have public access for the utility vehicles and fire and emergency vehicles. I'm not knocking the store but the store can be downsized, you know, we can still have a store or the residential deal. But if you look at the drawing that they did on the 3rd Street side where the loading dock is, and you all were talking about the step back, that step back is right next to, well by the time they go work on the alley that's right next to a guy's house that lives there. So that's going to be truck traffic next to him

	all day long, you know, supplying the store.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. Okay. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. LUNSFORD: And I hope you all look at
5	this very good.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. I just want to
7	make one thing clear just so you all understand. We don't
8	authorize the closing of the alley, the City Council does.
9	So there will be another opportunity for you to weigh
10	in on the alley closing. I'm just telling you that for
11	your information, we're not going to have a debate about
12	it.
13	MR. LUNSFORD: No, I want to ask a question
14	though.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
16	MR. LUNSFORD: Is there going to be a
17	notification of their meeting?
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes, but I don't know
19	that it'll come to your house. There will be notice of
20	a public meeting of the Council and that will be on the
21	agenda. There'll be a public hearing actually but I don't
22	know that you'll get individual notice.
23	MR. LUNSFORD: Is it going to be publicized
24	like in the newspaper?
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I don't know how they

1 do it, but I know there will be notice. All right. 2 MR. LUNSFORD: CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Questions for the 3 4 panel? 5 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, Madam Chair. Let me just ask and I'll start with Mr. McCrea. As someone 6 7 who participated with the Amoco with the BZA when it was 8 there I'm very familiar with this site. MR. Yes, I remember. McCREA: 9 10 VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The question I have 11 for you is, first let me just find out how you I guess 12 work with the ANC which is a non-paid group of citizens. 13 And the reason I'm going here because I'm a president of a civic association and I kind of know how it is, I 14 15 didn't get this and I didn't get that, I understand that. 16 But I think it's incumbent upon, and I'm not scolding 17 you, I'm just trying to figure our how you work with your 18 ANC, I think it's incumbent upon also the residents who 19 live in specific areas to go out and try to get involved 20 with the ANC. 21 I have people who come to me all the time, 22 Anthony, I didn't know, it didn't come to my house. And 23 I will tell you personally and I have a passion about this, I'm not going to actually walk up to everybody. I do the 24

best I can do on a volunteer basis.

1	But forget about me, it's not about me right
2	now. Let me just ask you though. How do you work along
3	with your ANC? Do you participate in meetings? I know
4	they meet once a month, they're probably off in July and
5	August, that's why I say if you ever want to get anything
6	through through the city, you do it in July and August.
7	But do you go to your ANC meetings and participate and
8	who is your ANC? You don't necessarily have to tell me,
9	do you know who your ANC commissioner is?
10	MR. McCREA: Yes.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Do you work
12	along
13	MR. McCREA: May I respond?
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Sure.
15	MR. McCREA: I think that's a fair question,
16	Mr. Hood, and without sounding negative let me put it in
17	perspective for you.
18	In our particular area there has been a lot
19	of problems with the ANCs, okay? There's been a lot of
20	political shenanigans going on. It's a matter of record
21	the one before Mr. Rivera was indicted and he's in jail.
22	The record indicates that Mr. Rivera, as we sit here,
23	is indicted also for the same kind of shenanigans.
24	When we approach the ANC about our position
25	on certain things they totally ignore us. They have been

Τ	pro-business. They do not send out notifications we
2	have to find out on our own. As you recall, I was one
3	of the ones that testified before you at the last hearing.
4	I think at that time it was the longest hearing ever at
5	this organization, I think it lasted till about two o'clock
6	in the morning and stuff. So I'm very active in my
7	community.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Has there been one
9	longer than that?
10	MR. McCREA: Well at that time I think it
11	was.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Two o'clock? I
13	thought a couple of weeks ago was the longest one but go
14	ahead.
15	MR. McCREA: That was like a couple of years
16	ago. So I'm very active with the community, I'm active
17	in the political campaigns but, to be honest with you,
18	the reason why Square 776 formed my own committee because
19	we knew our ANCs were not addressing our concerns. They
20	don't communicate with us. They don't talk to us. And
21	they have their own agenda, and that's a matter of record.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I will tell
23	you and I guess when they come back I do have a concern
24	about the 4 th Street issue, Madam Chair, but I guess this
25	is not the time. I will address that not now but when

1	they do rebuttal. Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else? Questions
3	for this panel?
4	MR. LUNSFORD: Yes. Upon all of this going
5	on tonight when will we find out the results?
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We'll tell that at the
7	I have a closing and we'll set time for the record to
8	close and then you'll get some sense of when it might be
9	on our agenda for a meeting.
LO	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: One more quick
L1	question. I forgot who it was that mentioned about the
L2	trash facing your house. About how many feet away is it?
L3	Is it the dumpster, the proposal of the dumpster?
L4	MR. DESJARDINS: Yes. On their diagram it
L5	would have been just the alley away and I forget what the
L6	new alley's going to be. Did they say 8 or 12 feet?
L7	But it's only going to be an alley away and that same house
L8	on the very end of 4^{th} Street is not only going to have
L9	the trucks idling next to it, it's going to have the exhaust
20	fan right there and the trash disposal all on that same
21	flat wall closest to that one house.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I see it now.
23	MR. DESJARDINS: And I can't imagine with
24	their building being so tall that the air flow's going
25	to let the trash smell vent any other way than down the

1	alleyway.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
3	
4	MR. DESJARDINS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else have
6	questions for this panel? Anyone else? Mr. Glasgow,
7	any questions? Commissioner Dixon, did you have any
8	questions for the panel? Thank you, Commissioner Dixon.
9	All right. Thank you to the panel. Thank
10	you all very much and, as I said, we'll leave the record
11	open and we'll say when it will be closed if you have
12	additional things you'd like to submit. We'll say that
13	at the end.
14	The record will be open so you can submit
15	those.
16	MR. McCREA: I'll just have them recopied
17	and I can bring that
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes you can. Okay.
19	Thank you. All right. I also have Mr. Purdy on the witness
20	list if you'd like to testify and I have Mr. Heavey. And
21	anyone else who'd like to testify in opposition can feel
22	free to have a seat at the table. Mr. Purdy, why don't
23	you go ahead.
24	MR. PURDY: My name is Jim Purdy. I own
25	the property that my daughter lives in and it's about

halfway down on 4th Street. We've owned it for like 25 years and I'm actually glad to see some development happen in the area. I'm not exactly an opponent or a proponent of this thing, I just wanted to come to see what was happening.

But a couple of things that concern me was things they left out and one of them Nate mentioned was the trash. That trash compactor which is going to be a big 40-yard whatever behind that house, those trash trucks are going to come back there in the middle of the night, beep-beep-beep backing up. I'm worried about the rodents and not just the smell but what else that's going to cause.

I'm also worried about if you drive down H Street at any time, cars are just double parked, H Street is a two-lane street not a four-lane street. Because of all the double parking I can imagine what that alley's going to look like with parking unless it's policed consistently.

And, if you put a big building up there, my other concern was the tallness of the building is going to block off those yards in the back so those yards aren't going to be open for people to see into. That means it's going to be more accessible to burglaries, that type of thing. I'm worried about lighting, I'm worried about security back there. And I think those need to be

addressed.

2.0

I'm also worried about the type of store they're going to put in there and I'm also concerned about the store's hours. They didn't discuss any of that, how that's going to affect the residents.

And the last thing is 121 spaces. I go to Whole Foods in Annapolis, I know it's not an urban area it's a suburban area, but at 9 o'clock in the morning, they open up at eight, there was nothing else in that shopping center that they're in that's open except a Starbucks and there's got to be 300 cars in there at 9 o'clock in the morning. And I'm telling you, Saturday morning this store is going to be inundated with cars and 121 spots isn't even going to be close to enough spots for that store.

I don't know where they got their figures from but it's been my experience, and I own a parking business, that planners underestimate how many people are going to come to do things. And so, you know, he may be right, he may be within the standards but the standards may not apply to what you actually need -- as you see when you look at parking spots on highways all the time.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need your closing thought, you're about out of time.

MR. PURDY: Okay. And the last thing is

NEAL R. GROSS

1 the concern with the stores and parking, is it going to be paid parking? Is it going to be validated parking? 2 3 How are they going to do that, how are they going to control it? 4 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Please go ahead. 6 7 MR. HEAVEY: Okay. My name's Paul Heavey, 8 I live in the 300 block of K Street, N.E. so I'm one square north of the proposed development. 9 10 Again, I'm sort of agreeing with Mr. Purdy, 11 I'm not saying I'm an opponent but I just want to I guess 12 voice my concerns about some of the things that they've 13 addressed in their proposal. 14 Already you've heard from the immediate 15 neighbors concerning the height. The traffic study that 16 was performed for the H Street overlay did mention that the $3^{\rm rd}$ and H Street intersection was at level service D, 17 I'm not very technical on this but I'm sure I'll get 18 corrected, and the introduction of the new parking garage 19 20 entrance, I'm not exactly sure how that will remain at 21 that level which the report by the developer has proposed. 22 One the developments that was mentioned is the development going up between 2nd and 3rd 23 and K and L, which I believe is in the upward of 300 or 24

400 units. Now granted that's two blocks away from this

1 development but it's going to also impact the traffic demands of the area. 2 I think the alley closing has already been 3 approached, and my only other concern I guess that I'll 4 5 voice right now is -- or actually I have two, I'm sorry. One is that a supermarket has not committed to the 6 7 project yet and my concern is what happens if they do not 8 get that. And, finally, is with the height requirement 9 10 and the PUD approval request we're getting I guess eight 11 moderate income housing or rental units for the 40-foot 12 height requirement for the PUD approval. And I just hope that you'll take these things into consideration before 13 14 you make your decision. 15 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Mr. Heavey, 16 are you the one with the solar panels on your roof? Okay. I thought you looked familiar. Okay. Any questions for 17 Any questions Mr. 18 these gentlemen? Any questions? 19 Glasgow? Okay. Thank you both for sticking with us tonight. 20 21 else who'd like to testify in Anyone 22 opposition? Okay. Mr. Glasgow? 23 MR. GLASGOW: I think with respect to the rebuttal we want to make sure to clarify the record on 24 25 a couple of things. I'd like to have Mr. Maurice Walters

come up first to discuss the plans in Sheet AO-1 as they deal with the trash compactor area and how that all operates. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I need you to turn your mike on for me. MR. WALTERS: There is some concern expressed about the trash and I just wanted to clarify. We have a small amount of retail and there's a room right here that has doors on it that would remain closed and they would bring their trash out there and the doors would be opened and the trash would be rolled out. And that would be 8 plus 12 is 20 and then another 12 feet, 32 feet away from that house. That would be the retail trash.

The residential trash, the way it works in apartment buildings, there's a chute that every person puts the trash into and it goes down to the ground floor and there will be a compactor right here within the building and it compacts it into little bins and it's keep inside. And then when the truck comes they're rolled down that corridor and out and picked up. They're not too dissimilar from what you do at your own home when you roll the trash out.

> CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: So it's not a dumpster? No, it's a compactor. MR. WALTERS: No. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What about the
2	retail? Explain the retail again.
3	MR. WALTERS: The retail is a small room
4	right there. It's about 8 feet by 8 feet, so that would
5	probably be small, that's not big enough to put a large
6	dumpster and it would probably be a series of small
7	containers that would be rolled out.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So how is it
9	recovered? I mean when they come to pick it up, how is
10	that done? They roll it outside?
11	MR. WALTERS: Yes, they would roll it out
12	to the alley right there and the truck would pick it up.
13	
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And it sits there?
15	MR. WALTERS: No, I think it would be
16	recovered when the trucks come.
17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: But who rolls it
18	out?
19	MR. WALTERS: I would assume the trash
20	collection company would.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So there's no
22	predetermined it won't be rolled out on trash day prior
23	to the trucks coming, right?
24	MR. WALTERS: No, I think they would pull
25	it out.

_	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oray. MI. IUIIDUII
2	do you have a question?
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Walters?
4	MR. WALTERS: Yes?
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: What about on the
6	grocery store? Is that in the service bay?
7	MR. WALTERS: Yes. They do have a compactor
8	and that's sized for their demands. They throw trash,
9	there would be an aperture in the wall they'd put trash
10	directly in there. It compacts. The trucks pull in and
11	retrieve the trash there so it's all contained within the
12	building.
13	MR. GLASGOW: And Mr. Walters, the vent for
14	the trash areas on the residential and retail side, they
15	will go up to the roof?
16	MR. WALTERS: Are you speaking about the
17	garage exhaust or the trash?
18	MR. GLASGOW: For the trash.
19	MR. WALTERS: Yes.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anything else? Mr.
21	Glasgow?
22	MR. GLASGOW: Well, I think that we wanted
23	to make sure that we covered any of the questions that
24	the Commission members had, the one specific question had
25	to do with the trash. I think there may have been wanted
	1

1	to I guess find out if there was any other question, open
2	the issue that we had with any of the witnesses that we
3	have here that any of the other commissioners wanted to
4	have as a result of the testimony.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I think Mr.
6	Hood has something.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And it didn't jump
8	out at me when the chairperson was talking about the trucks
9	backing up to go into that alley on 4 th Street. And I think
10	that won't work. I don't see that working. If trucks
11	are backing up on 4 th Street, which I was just reminded
12	with the testimony, $4^{ ext{th}}$ Street is a one way?
13	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, 4 th Street is one way.
13 14	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, 4 th Street is one way.
	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, 4 th Street is one way. VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony
14	
14 15	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony
14 15 16	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing
14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing up on 4^{th} Street. That won't work.
14 15 16 17	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing up on 4 th Street. That won't work. MR. GLASGOW: We will address that then in
14 15 16 17 18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing up on 4 th Street. That won't work. MR. GLASGOW: We will address that then in a post-hearing submission. And I think one of the items
14 15 16 17 18 19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing up on 4 th Street. That won't work. MR. GLASGOW: We will address that then in a post-hearing submission. And I think one of the items that the Chair referenced is instructive on that. She
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think the testimony to the Chair earlier was that trucks are going to be backing up on 4 th Street. That won't work. MR. GLASGOW: We will address that then in a post-hearing submission. And I think one of the items that the Chair referenced is instructive on that. She said you may want to look at limiting the size of the trucks

be a back up there to back in.

25

VICE

1	CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So that will take care of the back
2	up issue?
3	MR. GLASGOW: Right.
4	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All right.
5	Thank you Madam Chair.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else have any
7	outstanding questions that they'd like to have answered?
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do we get to ask a question?
9	
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, you don't. I'm
11	sorry. Did you want to make a closing statement?
12	MR. GLASGOW: I think that anything else
13	that we have in closing on this we can submit for the record.
14	There's no need to keep anybody later tonight.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. That would be
16	great. Thank you. Very considerate. All right. I think
17	what we'll do since this isn't going to be on, the earliest
18	would be September, we'll leave the record open for 30
19	days to give people ample time to submit whatever else
20	they might have. If you give us a date on that.
21	MRS. SCHELLIN: Monday, August 7 th , three
22	o'clock p.m.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. And then what's
24	the date of our September meeting?
25	MRS. SCHELLIN: The 11 th .

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. We will then
2	leave the record open for any additional submissions,
3	including the supplemental report from the Office of
4	Planning until Monday, August 7 th and you make any
5	submissions by three o'clock in the room next door.
6	And then it's likely then that we will have
7	this on our agenda for our September meeting, which is
8	September 11 th at 6:30 in this room, but you can always
9	call the office just to verify that if you want to attend.
LO	And at the meeting, when we deliberate, there will be
L1	no further testimony but you're welcome to come and observe
L2	the Commission's proceedings.
L3	Let's see. What else do I want to say? I
L4	guess that's all. And Mrs. Schellin's always available
L5	to answer questions if you have any additional questions.
L6	So I thank you all. We got a late start tonight. Did
L7	you have something?
L8	MR. GLASGOW: Yes, just very quickly. What
L9	is the record left open for? Any of the submissions?
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, Mr. McCrea has
21	some photographs. Office of Planning is going to make
22	a supplemental report.
23	MR. GLASGOW: Right. I'll file closing
24	statement?
25	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. And then anything,

1	just to maybe clarify what the proffers are. MRS.
2	SCHELLIN: The economic analysis.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes, the economic
4	analysis.
5	MR. GLASGOW: Um-mmm.
6	MRS. SCHELLIN: The perspective regarding
7	the DDOT parking issue. I think you wanted a list of our
8	brochures in the area and what their parking is.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.
10	MR. GLASGOW: Okay.
11	MRS. SCHELLIN: And OP's assessment
12	regarding the shadow study.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. Sorry, we
14	usually run down the list and I didn't think to do that.
15	
16	MR. GLASGOW: Findings and conclusions the
17	same date?
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: If you can do that,
19	that would be great.
20	MR. GLASGOW: Okay.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Then thank you
22	all and we're adjourned.
23	(Whereupon, the hearing in the
24	above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:00 p.m.,
25	with the record to be left open until August 7 at 3 p.m.

for additional submissions.)

2

1

3

4

5

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701